| | Likes | Room for Improvement | Recommendations |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| General | Clear and easy language | Purpose of the show doesn't come out | Clearly state show's purpose |
| | Anyone can listen and understand | strongly | Find a way for listeners to |
| | Makes you want to listen even if | Names of couple members are hard to | easily follow who's who (e.g. |
| | you are not yet in a relationship | associate with flow of Q&A | couple A, B, C?) |
| Title | An exclamation is very suitable as | Does not make one expect to hear a | |
| | you are surprised when a couple | relationship show | |
| | does not know something or knows | Sounds like youth slang | |
| | exact things about his/her partner | May fail to attract an older target audience | |
| Theme Song | Rhythm and words are catchy and | Theme song is repeated too much; takes up | Reduce the amount of time |
| | just right for the show | much of the allocated time slot | devoted to the theme song |
| Presenters | The way presenters ask questions is | Presenters talk too much, tend to | Reduce repeats, interjections, |
| | very attractive. Presenters are very | overshadow the participants | amount of presenter talk time |
| | active, charming. Encourages | Repeat each other's words | Ensure questions/language |
| | attention and makes participants | Too many interjections | used isn't attacking |
| | feel free to share ideas. | Some questions are attacking/despising | Revisit Uncle Lover Lover |
| | Interjections make episode live and | Name "Uncle Lover Lover" can be offensive | |
| | active | and misinterpreted | |
| Participants | | Seem to be faking or acting | |
| | | Responses seem too brief; format doesn't | |
| | | allow for elaborate narrative | |
| | | Responses do not feel original | |
| | | Feels like they're not reflecting real life | |
| | | situations | |
| Questions | Questions and category/age of | Listeners unsure if questions are same or | Specify in beginning and/or end |
| | participants were well-matched | different in each episode | that questions are different |
| | | | every episode |
| Interstitials | | Listener confusion by the transition from | Improve transition to |
| | | studio to street | neighborhood presenter |

Aiisseee! Game Show Season 1 Feedback

| | Likes | Room for Improvement | Recommendations |
|-------------|-------|--|---------------------------------|
| | | Not clear if couples were the same ones as | |
| | | those in the studio | |
| | | Transition feels abrupt. | |
| | | Neighborhood reporter not understood well | |
| Recruitment | | Limited to Facebook, which limits the pool | Expand/diversity ways to |
| | | of applicants | join/request to enter the show |
| | | Limited to Dar | Expand to other regions |
| | | Unclear how to enroll | Explain how couples can enroll |
| | | Couple selection criteria unclear | Give clear selection criteria |
| Prizes | | Did not announce the prize they won | Prize won should be explicitly |
| | | Prizes should be neutral, not mention | mentioned in the show |
| | | alcohol | Prize to be one should be |
| | | | stated in advance of the |
| | | | episode to motivate couples to |
| | | | enter |
| Broadcast | | Day/time may not be convenient for | Do a weekday broadcast |
| | | listeners (may be socializing, visiting) | and/or repeat |
| | | Durations over 1+ hour is too long | Consolidate time slot |
| | | One radio station may limit reach | Make available on regional |
| | | | radio stations |
| Other | | | Encourage couples to listen |
| | | | together |
| | | | Bring the rural community into |
| | | | the show |
| | | | Invite influential people, e.g. |
| | | | singers |