Understanding Individual and Social Risk Factors Related to Priority Zoonotic Diseases in West Africa A Review of the Literature

Submitted to:United States Agency for International DevelopmentSubmitted by:Johns Hopkins Center for Communication ProgramsApril 5, 2019Cooperative Agreement #AID-OAA-A-17-00017





Suggested Citation: Naugle, D., Kumoji EK., Oyenubi, O. & Sohail, S. (2019). *Understanding Individual and Social Risk Factors Related to Priority Zoonotic Diseases in West Africa: A Review of the Literature*. Baltimore, MD: Breakthrough ACTION (BA) project, Johns Hopkins Center for Communication Programs.

This report is made possible by the support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the Breakthrough ACTION Cooperative Agreement #AID-OAA-A-17-00017. Breakthrough ACTION is based at the Johns Hopkins Center for Communication Programs (CCP). The contents of this report are the sole responsibility of Breakthrough ACTION and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID, the United States Government, or Johns Hopkins University.

© 2019 Johns Hopkins University. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

List of Tables ii
List of Acronymsiii
Acknowledgmentsiv
Executive Summary1
Avian Influenza1
Brucellosis, <i>Mycobacterium</i>
Rabies
Salmonellosis
Hemorrhagic Fevers (Ebola, Lassa, Rift Valley, Yellow Fever)2
Introduction
Methods
Results
Anthrax
Avian Influenza
Brucella
<i>Mycobacterium</i> (Bovine Tuberculosis)26
Plague
Plague
Plague
Plague 30 Rabies 31 Salmonellosis 36 Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers 40
Plague 30 Rabies 31 Salmonellosis 36 Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers 40 Arboviruses 56

List of Tables

able 1. Summary of results	6
able 2. Summary of results, Avian Influenza1	2
Table 3. Summary of results, Brucella 2	20
Table 4. Summary of results, <i>Mycobacterium</i> (Bovine Tuberculosis)	26
able 5. Summary of results, Rabies	31
able 6. Summary of results, Salmonellosis	36
able 7. Summary of results, Ebola Virus Disease	10
able 8. Summary of results, Lassa Fever	50
able 9. Summary of results, Yellow Fever	57

List of Acronyms

ССР	Center for Communication Programs
CHV	Community Health Volunteers
EVD	Ebola Virus Disease
HPAI	Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza
JEE	Joint External Evaluations
LBM	Live Bird Markets
LFV	Lassa Fever Virus
MARV	Marburg Virus
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
PZD	Priority Zoonotic Diseases
RBPT	Rose Bengal Plate Test
RIG	Rabies Immunoglobulin
SBC	Social and Behavior Change
SLAWS	Sierra Leone Animal Welfare Society
USAID	United States Agency for International Development

Acknowledgments

Many individuals played an important role in the design, conduct, and analysis of this literature review. Their contributions are all gratefully acknowledged.

Breakthrough ACTION is a five-year project funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and led by the Johns Hopkins Center for Communication Programs (CCP). Breakthrough ACTION would like to acknowledge Danielle Naugle and 'Kuor Kumoji for coordinating the review process and authoring the report, and Saifra Sohail and Olamide Oyenubi for authoring the report, with support from Chen Dun, Stephanie Clayton, James Fofanah, Tina Dickenson, Anna Helland, and Jane Brown. We also thank Marcela Aguilar and Chris Merritt for editing and formatting the report.

Executive Summary

After the Ebola epidemic in West Africa ended, many countries in West Africa prioritized prevention programs and preparedness strategies for future public health emergencies. To help support these efforts, the World Health Organization led Joint External Evaluations of country capacity to prevent, detect and rapidly respond to public health emergencies; risk communication, community involvement in prevention strategies, and national response efforts were identified as areas for improvement. Supporting the development of functional risk communication systems requires a better understanding of the individual and social behavioral risk factors related to priority zoonotic diseases.

This literature review summarizes the available published literature on behavioral determinants and sociocultural systems and norms that influence specific priority zoonotic diseases in West Africa. It provides a starting point for further qualitative and quantitative investigation to inform the development of social and behavior change resources and tools that may contribute to the development and maintenance of effective risk communication systems. The search was conducted in Embase, and inclusion criteria for the articles were that they: (1) have a focus on any of anthrax, avian influenza, arbovirus, *Brucella, Mycobacterium*, plague, rabies, *Salmonella*, and viral hemorrhagic fever; (2) were conducted in West Africa; (3) were published between 2008–February 2019; and (4) describe individual, cultural, or social risk or prevention factors related to one of the eight zoonotic diseases.

The literature search uncovered a total of 120 articles that met the inclusion criteria, including 19 for avian influenza, 21 for *Brucella*, 8 for *Mycobacterium* (specifically bovine tuberculosis), 15 for rabies, 7 for *Salmonella*, 50 for viral hemorrhagic fever (including Ebola [26], Lassa fever [13], Marburg [1], and arboviruses (including dengue [2], Yellow fever [3], Rift Valley fever [4] and Zika [1]). Key sociobehavioral and risk determinants from the review follow.

Avian Influenza

Populations identified as most at risk for avian influenza included military personnel, health workers, farmers, abattoir workers, and individuals trading in poultry. Risk factors for avian influenza included proximity of humans to infected birds and poor biosecurity measures. Barriers to prevention included inadequate and unenforced farming regulations, knowledge deficits, costs, and low-risk perception. Recommended interventions were biosecurity measures including hand washing, surveillance, and establishment of reporting systems.

Brucellosis, Mycobacterium

Vulnerable populations and risk factors were similar for brucellosis and *Mycobacterium*. At-risk populations included livestock keepers, meat processors and consumers, and dog owners. Infection in humans was acquired through airborne particles, consumption of unpasteurized milk or poorly cooked meat, and contact with fetuses and vaginal or amniotic fluids of infected animals. Infection in animals

was primarily through poor vaccination and quarantining practices, sharing bulls for breeding purposes, and feeding cow fetuses to dogs. Factors hindering effective prevention included lack of knowledge of prevention methods, cultural culinary practices, and costs associated with vaccination. Recommended prevention practices included separating living areas of animals and humans, increasing awareness and knowledge of appropriate milk and meat processing, safe slaughtering, protection from contamination during animal births, appropriate disposal of animal carcasses, vaccinations, and not mixing different herds.

Rabies

Information about sociocultural norms and behaviors related to rabies was surprisingly scant. While the general public has a risk of rabies infection, children, dog owners, health care workers, and traditional healers comprised the groups with increased risk. Risk factors described included dog bites and the processing and consumption of dog meat. Awareness campaigns and mass animal vaccination were recommended for prevention of rabies; however, barriers included cost of vaccination, lack of vaccine supply, and poor knowledge of transmission and strategies for prevention of rabies among the population.

Salmonellosis

Groups with increased risk for salmonellosis included poultry, cattle, and swine farmers; abattoir workers and butchers; and the general public. In animals, infection spreads primarily through poor biosecurity measures and proximity of other carriers, infected animals, and pests. For humans, the risk for salmonellosis was higher on farms that used shared equipment. Recommended practices were biosecurity measures like hand washing, cleaning and disinfection of poultry houses, more hygienic slaughtering practices, and health communication to provide public education.

Hemorrhagic Fevers (Ebola, Lassa, Rift Valley, Yellow Fever, Arboviruses)

The majority of information available in the literature was for Ebola virus disease. The general public was considered to be at risk for all the viral hemorrhagic fevers. Groups such as hunters, bushmeat handlers, students, and health care workers were described as more vulnerable to infection with Ebola. The rural poor and those handling rats were most at risk for Lassa fever. Livestock keepers, meat handlers, processors, and consumers were at risk for Rift Valley fever. Consumption of bush meat, handling and butchering infected animals, contact with bats, and contact with infectious persons and corpses were risk factors for Ebola. Traditional burial practices, eating rat meat, or contaminated meat or water increased the risk of Lassa fever. Barriers to risk elimination included entrenched beliefs that bush meat was safe to eat, poor knowledge and myths surrounding the disease, and poor regulation of hunting laws and bans. Dry spells that were followed by a period of intense rain and humans' close proximity to livestock were considered risk factors for Rift Valley fever. No recommendations for behavioral interventions were described for Ebola; however, health communication, animal vaccinations, vector control, and protections when handling meat and corpses were recommended

approaches for decreasing risk of hemorrhagic fever. Arboviruses are transmitted to humans through mosquitoes and risk reduction measures in the literature include a focus on mass vaccination (for yellow fever only), vector control and bite protection.

Overall, excluding Ebola virus disease, avian influenza, and to some extent brucellosis, there were few publications on behavioral and community-level determinants of risk for the majority of priority zoonotic diseases included in this literature review. The majority of factors associated with risk were closely related to a lack of awareness and knowledge of zoonosis, strong cultural beliefs around food and burials, and poor hygiene and biosecurity measures. Common structural barriers that affect risk mitigation and prevention efforts included costs associated with vaccinations, quarantining, and biosecurity measures, as well as a lack of vaccines, and poor regulation of the farming and meat processing industry.

Introduction

After the Ebola virus disease outbreak in West Africa in 2014-2016, West African nations are increasing efforts to prevent and prepare for future public health emergencies. As part of this process, the World Health Organization has led Joint External Evaluations (JEE) of country capacity to prevent, detect, and rapidly respond to public health risks.

One of the parameters the JEE evaluates is risk communication, which includes dissemination of timely information about health risks and events to the public through the appropriate channels in order to promote prevention and control actions at the individual, family, and community levels. In order to improve risk communication systems, governments must develop multi-sectoral communication strategies that provide the link between human health, animal health, and the environment. This approach, called "One Health," explicitly considers the intersections between human health, animal health, and the environment, as well as how actions in one area affect the other two.

Supporting the development of functional risk communication systems requires a better understanding of the individual and social risk factors related to each of the priority zoonotic diseases. The purpose of this literature review is to provide a starting point for further qualitative and quantitative investigation to inform the development of social and behavior change (SBC) resources and tools. These resources and tools will contribute to the creation and maintenance of effective risk communication systems.

Specific objectives for the Breakthrough ACTION Global Health Security Agenda program vary by country and may include to: gain understanding of individual and social determinants of risk of infection and perceptions of risk; build functional systems of community engagement to facilitate individual and household adoption of priority epidemic prevention and management behaviors; increase stakeholders' capacity to improve public awareness using mass media and improved communication tools; develop community monitoring tools that contribute to the prevention and management of diseases with epidemic potential; strengthen public sector systems for oversight and coordination of risk communication and SBC activities at the national, sub-national, and community levels; and improve the measurement, coordination, and quality of SBC.

Methods

This report provides a review of the literature of individual and social risk factors related to eight zoonotic disease groups in West Africa. To be included in the review, articles had to (1) focus on one of the eight zoonotic disease groups including anthrax, avian influenza, *Brucella*, *Mycobacterium*, plague, rabies, *Salmonella*, and viral hemorrhagic fevers; (2) be about cases in West Africa; (3) be published in the last 11 years (2008–2019); and (4) describe individual, cultural, or social risk or prevention factors related to one of the eight zoonotic disease groups. The search was conducted in Embase, a comprehensive database of biomedical literature, between April 2018 and February 2019.

Results

The literature search uncovered a total of 120 articles that met the inclusion criteria, including 19 for avian influenza, 21 for *Brucella*, 8 for *Mycobacterium* (specifically bovine tuberculosis), 15 for rabies, 7 for *Salmonella*, and 50 for viral hemorrhagic fever (including Ebola virus disease [26], Lassa fever [13], Marburg virus disease [1], and arboviruses [10]). These articles are described below, organized by disease group, and presented in alphabetical order. There were no eligible articles returned for anthrax and plague.

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI)	Peer- reviewed articles (19)	Nigeria (12) Ghana (3) Mali (3) Regional (1)	Military personnel Poultry farmers Abattoir workers Poultry traders	Poor biosecurity measures <u>HUMANS</u> Proximity of humans to infected birds <u>ANIMALS</u> Free-range poultry Unregulated poultry trade Proximity of different bird species Wild bird- domesticated poultry interaction	Strict biosecurity measures Promotion of handwashing may be more acceptable for poorer farmers Effective surveillance and reporting system infrastructure Community leaders could be useful to collect reports Health communication (Education) Advocacy through imams may be more appropriate for Islamic-educated farmers	Inadequate regulation of poultry farming practices Cost of biosecurity equipment Poor knowledge of disease prevention Low-risk perception Poorly designed live bird markets Lack of veterinary services Lack of availability of HPAI vaccine
Brucellosis (cattle, dogs, camels)	Peer- reviewed articles (19) Reports (2)	Côte d'Ivoire (2) Ghana (1) Niger (1) Nigeria (14) Togo (1)	Livestock keepers Meat processors Meat consumers Dog owners Veterinarians	HUMANS Consumption of unpasteurized milk or undercooked meat Contact with aborted animal	HUMANS Raise awareness Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Wash hands before and after milking	Lack of knowledge of disease and risk factors Infrastructure of farms and living spaces leads to shared spaces between several

Table 1. Summary of results

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Mycobacterium	Peer-	Regional (2) Ghana (2)	Livestock keepers	fetuses or vaginal or amniotic fluids Airborne contaminated particles <u>ANIMALS</u> Not vaccinating Introducing new animals into herds without quarantine, testing, or vaccination Sharing bulls Feeding cow fetuses to dogs	Wear protective clothing when assisting with animal delivery/abortion and wash hands with soap afterwardsSeparate animals from living spacesANIMALS VaccinateProvide mineral supplementationDo not mix herds (with other species or other herds)Test and cull infected animalsHUMANS	types of animals and humans Cultural and culinary practices Lack of economic means to vaccinate
(Bovine Tuberculosis)	reviewed articles (8)	Niger (2) Nigeria (3)	Meat processors Meat consumers Veterinarians	Consumption of unpasteurized milk or undercooked meat Contact with animal carcasses Airborne contaminated particles ANIMALS Introducing new animals into herds without quarantine, testing, or vaccination Sharing bulls Movement of cattle	Raise awareness Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Wash hands before and after milking Wear protective clothing when slaughtering and processing meat and wash hands with soap afterwards Separate animals from living spaces	of disease and risk factors Infrastructure of farms and living spaces leads to shared spaces between several types of animals and humans Cultural and culinary practices Lack of economic means to vaccinate

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
				Breed type Farm size/management system	ANIMALS Vaccinate Provide mineral supplementation Do not mix herds (with other species or other herds) Test and cull infected animals Discard carcasses with lesions (do not sell or consume)	
Rabies (dog, cattle, pig, human)	Peer- reviewed articles (12) Reports (3)	Côte d'Ivoire (1) Ghana (1) Mali (3) Nigeria (7) Sierra Leone (1) Regional (2)	General public Dog owners Children/parents Health care workers Traditional healers Veterinarians	Dog bites Dog meat processing and consumption	Mass canine vaccination campaigns Awareness campaigns	Lack of knowledge of where and when to vaccinate Cost of vaccinating dogs Availability of vaccination points—taking dogs to the veterinarian takes time, is inconvenient (transporting animal), and costly Stray dogs
Salmonellosis	Peer- reviewed articles (7)	Burkina Faso (2) Ghana (1) Nigeria (2) Senegal (2)	Poultry, cattle, and swine farmers Butchers Abattoir workers General public	HUMANS Hygiene control along meat retail chain Consumption of eggs from plastic egg crates Consumption of unfermented soft cheese	Health communication (education) Strict biosecurity measures Prevent cross- contamination; use new utensils after manipulating fresh meat	Lack of refrigerators to store meat at low temperatures at home

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS <u>ANIMALS</u> Poor biosecurity measures Membership of the farm in a poultry association More than 1- kilometer distance from landfill and other farms Mixed poultry housing system Proximity of other	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
				carriers, infected animals, and pests		
Ebola Virus Disease	Peer- reviewed articles (26) (Includes 1 multi- country source covering 2 countries)	Sierra Leone (8) Liberia (2) Nigeria (9) Guinea (1) Ghana (6) Benin (1)	General public Hunters Bushmeat handlers Students Health care workers	Handling and butchering infected animals Consumption of bush meat Direct or indirect contact with bats Contact with infectious persons/corpses	Health promotion engaging influential community members	Blackmail/threat surrounding hunting laws Belief that there was no threat because hunting/eating wild animals had been done for many years
Lassa Fever	Peer- reviewed articles (13)	Sierra Leone (4) Nigeria (7) Guinea (1) Mali (1)	General public, particular rural/poor communities Handlers and eaters of rat meat University community	Traditional burial practices that expose individuals to blood or contaminated water Handling/ consuming rat meat Eating food that has been dried on the floor (contaminated)	Health communication (education) Chemical rodent treatment with complimentary actions such as community storage structures and dissemination of health education	Lack of knowledge and misconceptions Lack of education/ awareness in local languages Rat meat is easily accessible Lack of village support Lack of information due to

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
						limited resources (i.e. power supply)
Dengue (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (2)	Burkina Faso (2)	General public Community leaders Health professionals		Dengue vector control with trained community leaders Educational activities	
Yellow Fever (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (3)	Côte d'Ivoire (2) Nigeria (1)	General public	Not vaccinated for yellow fever Standing water that creates breeding sites for mosquitoes	Mass vaccination Vector control Bite protection	
Rift Valley Fever (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (4)	Mauritania (1) Nigeria (2) Senegal (1)	General public Livestock keepers Meat processors Meat consumers Veterinarians	Environmental: dry spell of 6 days followed by intense rain Proximity to livestock	Vector control Bite protection Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Precautions when handling sick animals and carcasses	
Zika (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (1)	Nigeria (1)	General public Pregnant women		Vector control Bite protection	

Anthrax

Anthrax is an acute, infectious disease primarily of herbivorous animals. It is a serious zoonotic disease and public health threat because it has the ability to affect a large number of livestock and can be spread great distances to infect other animals and humans (Turnbull, 2008). Anthrax is transmitted to humans from infected animals or animal products by direct contact through a break in the skin (cutaneous anthrax), by eating inadequately cooked meat of an infected animal, or by inhaling the anthrax bacteria or spores (inhalational anthrax). The symptoms of anthrax in humans depend on the mode of transmission and may present as a skin ulcer, flu-like symptoms, chest discomfort, coughing up blood, nausea, abdominal pain, and painful swallowing. In animals, the symptoms of anthrax include high fever, bleeding from natural orifices, and sudden death (Turnbull, 2008). The search returned 15 articles. None of the articles met the criteria for inclusion in the review and report.

Avian Influenza

Highly Pathogenic Avian InfluenzaPeer- reviewed articles (19)Nigeria (12) Ghana (3)Military personnel Poultry farmersPoor biosecurity measuresStrict biosecurity measuresInadequate regulation of poultry farming practicesInfluenza(19)Ghana (3)Abattoir workersHUMANPromotion of handwashing may be more acceptable for poorer farmersCost of biosecurity equipmentAfrica (1)Poultry tradersPoultry tradersEffective surveillance and reporting system infrastructurePoor knowledge of disease preventionUnregulated poultry tradeCommunity leaders could be useful to collect reportsCommunity leaders collect reportsPoorly designed live bird marketsWild bird-poultry interactionWild bird-poultry interactionHealth communication (education)Lack of availability of HPAI vaccine	DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
	Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza	Peer- reviewed articles (19)	Nigeria (12) Ghana (3) Mali (3) Africa (1)	Military personnel Poultry farmers Abattoir workers Poultry traders	Poor biosecurity measures <u>HUMAN</u> Proximity of humans with infected birds <u>ANIMALS</u> Free-range poultry Unregulated poultry trade Proximity of different bird species Wild bird-poultry interaction	Strict biosecurity measures Promotion of handwashing may be more acceptable for poorer farmers Effective surveillance and reporting system infrastructure Community leaders could be useful to collect reports Health communication (education) Advocacy through Imams may be more appropriate for Islamic- educated farmers	Inadequate regulation of poultry farming practices Cost of biosecurity equipment Poor knowledge of disease prevention Low-risk perception Poorly designed live bird markets Lack of veterinary services Lack of availability of HPAI vaccine

Table 2. Summary of results, avian influenza

This section reviews the risk behaviors associated with transmission of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI). It also explores the determinants and barriers to uptake of healthy behaviors with HPAI and recommended practices.

Nineteen studies were included in this review on HPAI in West Africa. Two studies focused on HPAI in military personnel, exploring the knowledge of the troops and biosecurity practices with backyard poultry farming on military barracks in Ghana (Agbenohevi et al., 2015; Odoom et al., 2012). One article highlighted the barriers to reporting suspected HPAI cases among Nigerian physicians (Lafond et al., 2014). One study investigated the psychosocial stress, altered livelihood and trauma associated with HPAI outbreaks to poultry farm owners in Nigeria (Fasina, Jonah, Pam, Milaneschi, Gostolli, & Rafanelli, 2010a). Other studies included in the review explored the knowledge, behaviors, perceptions and risk factors related to avian influenza in Nigeria (Adam, Qasim, & Kazeem, 2014; Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011; Elelu, 2017; Fasanmi et al., 2016; Fasina et al., 2016; Fasina, Rivas, Bisschop, Stegeman, & Hernandez, 2011; Fasina, Bisschop, Ibironke, & Meseko, 2009; Fatiregun & Saani, 2008; Musa, Aderibigbe, Salaudeen, Oluwole, & Samuel, 2010; Paul, Assam, & Ndang, 2012), Ghana (Burimuah et al., 2016), Mali

(Molia et al., 2016; Molia et al., 2011; Molia et al., 2015), and across the African continent (Fasanmi, Odetokun, Balogun, & Fasina, 2017).

Highly pathogenic avian influenza is a rapidly disseminating infection that is adapted to birds but can also potentially be transmitted to humans with a stable human-to-human transmission (Fasina et al., 2016; Yang, Halloran, Sugimoto, & Longini Jr, 2007). Migratory waterfowls, most notably wild ducks, are the natural reservoirs of the avian influenza virus (Burimuah et al., 2016). Avian influenza within wild birds maintains its low pathogenicity; however, when transmitted to domestic poultry the virus may mutate to become HPAI (Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011). HPAI is spread from birds to humans through direct contact with infected birds (dead or alive), an infected bird's droppings, or secretions from their eyes or respiratory tract. The first outbreaks of HPAI in Africa were recorded in Egypt and Nigeria in 2006 (Odoom et al., 2012). During the 2006 outbreak in Nigeria, HPAI infection resulted in the death of approximately 711,000 birds of various species, and one confirmed human fatality in Lagos State (Fasina et al., 2011). The symptoms of HPAI infection in humans range from asymptomatic infection, mild upper respiratory disease or conjunctivitis to severe pneumonia with acute respiratory distress syndrome, multi-organ failure and death (Chan, 2002). In birds, HPAI is characterized by sudden onset of severe disease that invades multiple organs resulting in massive internal bleeding and death within 48 hours (Elelu, 2017). Vaccines have been developed for H5N1 HPAI in humans, but they are not routinely available. However, H5N1 HPAI is continuously mutating and, should there be a pandemic, it is likely that development of a vaccine specific to the pandemic strain will take several months. Vaccines against several HPAI strains are available for poultry but the most important protection against HPAI among farm birds is the practice of biosecurity measures (Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011). Biosecurity measures may include cleaning, disinfecting, separate overalls, change of outdoor shoes before entering flock houses, and restriction of visitors (Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011).

Risk factors for HPAI in birds

Factors that are associated with the existence and propagation of HPAI in the bird population include the establishment of H5N1 HPAI in domestic poultry, the abundance of free-range domestic birds, the unregulated international trade of day-old chicks, poor quarantine procedures for newly introduced poultry, close contact between different species of poultry, and the mixture of wild birds and domesticated poultry (Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011; Fasanmi et al., 2017; Fasina et al., 2010b; Molia et al., 2015; Odoom et al., 2012).

A study by Fasina et al. (2011) in Nigeria during the HPAI 2006–2007 epidemic identified statistically significant associations among three risk factors for HPAI on sampled poultry farms: (1) the presence of visitors on farm premises; (2) the purchase of live poultry and poultry products by farmers; and (3) farm workers living outside the farm premises. Receiving visitors on farm premises led to an eight-fold increase in the odds of HPAI infection in poultry on these farms compared to farms where visitors were received outside the premises (OR = 8.32). The authors proposed that the reason for this finding was that visitors often went to several farms in the course of conducting their business and could have inadvertently transmitted HPAI infection from farm to farm. Purchase of live poultry and poultry

products during the outbreak led to an eleven-fold increase in the odds of HPAI infection in the bird population compared to farms that did not make any purchases during the outbreak (OR = 11.91). Having farm workers live outside the premises led to an almost nine-fold increase in the odds of HPAI infection compared to farms that had workers living on site (OR = 8.98). The explanation for this finding as proposed by the authors was that outside workers may have been less likely to observe biosecurity principles and guidelines set by the farms. The authors described findings from another study to support their claims where off-site workers raised poultry in their own homes, offered services to other farms, exchanged items with workers from other farm premises, and rarely changed their clothes and shoes when they reported for work (Fasina et al., 2010).

Factors reported to be associated with HPAI in live bird markets (LBM) in Mali included being open every day, overnight poultry storage, absence of zoning to segregate poultry-related workflow areas, waste removal, cleaning and disinfecting occurring less frequently than on a daily basis, slow disposal of dead birds, and absence of facilities for proper management of bird fecal waste (Molia et al., 2016). The sale of wild birds in northern Nigerian LBMs was also described as a risk factor for HPAI infection (Fasanmi et al., 2016). In an assessment of risk factors for HPAI in LBMs in Nigeria, Fasanmi et al. (2016) reported that mandatory routine disinfection of LBMs, handwashing after slaughter, and traceability of the origin of birds being sold in the LBMs were protective factors against HPAI infection, but hand disinfection after slaughter was a strong risk factor for HPAI infection. The authors pointed out the discrepancies between knowledge and actual practice as possible reasons for this finding. They noted that LBM operators used antiseptics or disinfectants that were minimally applied or over-diluted. In addition, the over-exposure of chemical disinfectant products to direct sunlight might have rendered them ineffective before their use.

The study by Molia et al. (2011) examined the risk factors for avian influenza seropositivity in poultry in Mali. Village backyard birds had significantly higher avian influenza seropositivity (3%) than birds from commercial farms (0%). The research showed that birds from the Mopti area in the Sahelian zone, a common area for wild bird migration, were more seropositive for avian influenza than birds from the Sikasso area of the Sudano-Guinean zone (OR = 2.0). The researchers also found that the odds of avian influenza seropositivity was higher in chickens than in ducks (OR = 5.3).

Risk factors for HPAI in humans

In humans, the risk factors for the transmission of HPAI in West Africa are the proximity of humans to infected birds and poor biosecurity measures in the handling of poultry (Agbenohevi et al., 2015; Elelu, 2017).

Groups of individuals with increased risk of HPAI include poultry farmers, abattoir workers, and poultry traders (N. B. Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011; Elelu, 2017). Military personnel are also a potential at-risk group. Poultry farming in military barracks in Ghana dates back to the "Operation Feed Yourself" program promoted in 1972 that encouraged subsistence farming activities and animal production around the barracks (Agbenohevi et al., 2015). The backyard poultry are characterized by small flocks of birds with low biosecurity measures (Agbenohevi et al., 2015). In Ghana, and also in many other countries in Africa,

military personnel usually live in overcrowded barracks. Together, low biosecurity practices and crowded living conditions favor the animal-to-human transmission of avian influenza. The possibility of an increased risk of HPAI transmission in military personnel is particularly problematic because military personnel are themselves critical players in the response efforts to public health threats such as HPAI outbreaks (Odoom et al., 2012)

Behavioral determinants and practices

Awareness about HPAI

Awareness of HPAI was generally high across the reviewed studies. However, it should be noted that awareness was reported in populations that were exposed to information about HPAI from the mass media and following the HPAI outbreak in Nigeria, or among participants who were quite well educated. Specifically, the majority (97.9%) of the poultry farmers and live poultry sellers in Benin City, Nigeria were aware of HPAI (Adam et al., 2014). However, the authors noted that almost three-quarters (72%) of the sample had at least secondary education (Adam et al., 2014). Similarly, Fatiregun and Saani reported that 92.9% of poultry workers sampled in Oyo State, Nigeria had heard of avian influenza (Fatiregun & Saani, 2008). However, this was post HPAI outbreak and campaigns in Nigeria.

Knowledge about HPAI

Knowledge about HPAI was moderate to low and varied across the various study populations. Level of education influences individual knowledge about HPAI. The study in Oyo State, Nigeria reported that 61.4% of respondents correctly defined avian influenza; however, researchers noted that knowledge was higher among educated poultry farmers (Fatiregun & Saani, 2008). Among poultry farmers in Ikorodu, Nigeria, 41% of poultry farmers with tertiary education and 17.6% of farmers with secondary education had adequate knowledge of HPAI compared to 1.6% with no education and 0% with primary education. Adequate knowledge in this study was defined as a score of more than nine on a 25-item scale (Elelu, 2017).

The length of time spent in the poultry farming profession was also associated with individual knowledge of HPAI. About half (49.6%) of poultry farmers with at least 3 years of experience in the poultry farming business had adequate knowledge of HPAI compared to farmers who had been in business for 2-3 years (4.1%), 1-2 years (3.3%), and less than 1 year (3.3%) (Elelu, 2017). Finally, poultry farmers (57.8%) were significantly more likely to have adequate knowledge about HPAI compared to live bird traders (2.5%) (Elelu, 2017).

Knowledge about transmission of HPAI

Knowledge gaps related to transmission of HPAI were described in several studies. In a survey conducted among poultry farmers from Nigeria, the authors mentioned that only about half of the participants knew that HPAI can be transmitted from birds to humans (50.4%) and 57% knew that HPAI can be transmitted from birds to other birds (Adam et al., 2014). Moreover, only 30% knew that HPAI can be transmitted by contact with infected bird feces, 26.5% knew transmission is possible through handling infected eggs, 17% knew it can be transmitted through vehicular transport of infected birds, and 29.1% knew about transmission through infected slaughter surfaces (Adam et al., 2014). In another

study in a similar population, 72.9% knew that avian influenza can be transmitted from bird to bird, and 55% knew it can be transmitted from bird to human and through handling of uncooked poultry. Only 14% knew about the human-to-human transmission of avian influenza (Fatiregun & Saani, 2008). Knowledge about the mode of transmission of avian influenza was poor among backyard poultry farmers in northern Nigeria. Only a third (33.8%) knew that avian influenza can be transmitted from birds to human (Musa et al., 2010).

Knowledge about preventing HPAI

There was low-to-moderate knowledge of strategies to prevent spread of HPAI between birds and humans. A majority (80.6%) of farmers sampled in Benin City, Nigeria knew that birds could be vaccinated against HPAI, however, only about half (47%) were aware of HPAI vaccination for humans (Adam et al., 2014). In addition, less than a quarter (23.7%) cited the use of face masks for protection while in the bird pens, 26.7% mentioned disinfection of clothing, and 35.3% mentioned farmworker residence on the poultry farms as preventive measures against the transmission of HPAI (Adam et al., 2014). Only 56.9% of study participants identified hand washing with antiseptic after touching birds as a preventive method against the transmission of HPAI (Adam et al., 2014). In another article, Fatiregun & Saani (2008) showed that two-thirds (65.7%) of respondents knew that wearing a face mask, overalls (67.9%), boots or boot covers (64.3%), and eye protection (57.9%) were measures to help prevent the spread of avian influenza. Other known preventive measures included washing and disinfecting surfaces/body (84.3%), and vaccination of birds (67.9%) (Fatiregun & Saani, 2008).

Behaviors and practices that increase the risk of HPAI

The proper implementation of biosecurity measures for handling poultry is important to prevent the introduction and dissemination of HPAI between birds and from birds to humans. A unifying theme from the literature across the West African countries is the inadequate regulation and low practice of biosecurity on poultry farms (Alhaji & Odetokun, 2011; Fasanmi et al., 2016; Fasina, Rivas, Bisschop, Stegeman, & Hernandez, 2011; Odoom et al., 2012; Paul et al., 2012). Dangerous poultry farming practices such as the killing, eating and selling of sick birds, poor reporting of sick or dead poultry, and disposal of dead birds in public dumps and other areas increase the risk of transmission of HPAI from birds to humans (Molia et al., 2015). Furthermore, poor vaccination coverage and handwashing practices after handling birds (Agbenohevi et al., 2015; Fatiregun & Saani, 2008), and low biosecurity compliance in LBMs where there is close contact of traders and customers with potentially sick birds are practices that increase the risk of transmission of HPAI to humans (Burimuah et al., 2016; Fasanmi et al., 2016; Fasanmi et al., 2017; Molia et al., 2016)

In the study on backyard farmers in northern Nigeria, more than half (57.7%) of the farmers reported that during outbreaks when they experienced massive bird deaths on their farms they still sold infected live birds. Few (14.1%) slaughtered and dressed the birds before sale and about a quarter (24.4%) of them mentioned that they slaughtered and consumed the birds with their family members (Musa et al., 2010).

Regarding preventive measures on poultry farms, most participants (81.4%) from a study in Nigeria stated that they always washed their hands as a general preventive practice. However, only 11.4% reported that they always used face masks, 10.7% reported always using gloves, 16.4% always used boots or boot covers, and 0.7% said they always used eye protection (Fatiregun & Saani, 2008). Gender disparities were observed in the practice of HPAI preventive measures. For instance, a study on poultry farmers in Ikorodu, Nigeria noted that about half as many females (24.2%) as males (43.9%) engaged in good preventive practice. Good preventive practice was defined as a score of more than 5 on a 9-item scale (Elelu, 2017). Other disparities were seen based on the level of education. Farmers with little or no education were significantly less likely to practice preventive measures than farmers with tertiary level education. Only 13.8% of farmers with no formal education, 1.6% of those with only primary education, and 19.7% of those with secondary education. Likewise, 49.6% of poultry farmers who had been in the profession for more than 3 years practiced good preventive measures compared to 2.5% of farmers in the business for 1-11 months, 3.3% of farmers in the business for 1-2 years, and 7.4% of farmers in the business for 2-3 years. (Elelu, 2017).

Psychosocial effects of HPAI outbreaks

Beyond the direct consequences of contracting HPAI infection, HPAI outbreaks have indirect psychosocial consequences. Fasina et al. (2010a) found that stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms were associated with farmers in Nigeria whose poultry farms were affected during the 2006-2007 HPAI outbreak. Loss of income as a result of this outbreak affected entire families; the authors reported that low-income farmers who lost all their birds but found it hard to claim their losses from appropriate government agencies, received no compensation.

Reporting of suspected cases

Across the literature, reporting of suspected HPAI deaths in birds was low. The review showed only 37% of farmers in Nigeria mentioned that they had reported cases of dead birds to the veterinarian (Adam, et al., 2014). Similarly, the study of backyard poultry farmers in northern Nigeria showed that less than half of the farmers were willing to report avian influenza or the massive deaths of birds occurring within flocks to the designated authorities (Musa et al., 2010).

Barriers to engaging in healthy HPAI-related behaviors

Main barriers influencing non-compliance with recommended preventive practices include lack of vaccines (37%), lack of veterinary services (43.6%), as well as poor designs and inappropriate locations of LBMs that encourage close human-animal contact and do not support biosecurity compliance (Fasanmi et al., 2016).

In a study from Ghana (Odoom et al., 2012), there was widespread knowledge of HPAI; however, military troops and members of their families still practiced unsafe poultry handling, and reporting of bird deaths to veterinary officers was low. The researchers noted that awareness of the disease did not influence behavior change and described the need for efforts geared toward educating small-scale poultry farmers about how to minimize the risk of HPAI infection among bird populations and from birds

to humans, as well as strategies for increasing understanding about what to do with sick birds. Similarly, Paul et al. (2012) noted no change in the risk behaviors for HPAI practiced among a group of farmers in northern Nigeria despite their extensive knowledge of the transmission of the disease. In the study, the most commonly cited barrier to the practice of biosecurity measures by the farmers was the cost of the necessary equipment. The investigators suggested encouraging biosecurity measures like handwashing, which is a low-cost intervention that is unlikely to severely compromise the livelihood of the farmers. Furthermore, they described perceptions of low risk for HPAI among Islamic-educated farmers in Nigeria as a barrier to the practice of appropriate biosecurity measures. This group of farmers did not believe HPAI was either a dangerous or preventable disease and radio messaging was ineffective in reaching them. The investigators suggested advocacy campaigns at Islamic gatherings through imams as a potential strategy for this group of farmers.

Recommendations

The avian influenza virus has already adapted to several mammalian species; therefore, important preventive behaviors are those that reduce avian-human contact and the consequent adaptation of the HPAI virus in humans (Fasina et al., 2016). According to Fasina (2016), activities related to the production, processing, transportation, and retail of poultry should be the first line of defense. The author recommends safety practices in poultry farming under three broad categories: 1) limiting the contact of birds with humans and other animals; 2) ideal farm management practices including vaccination of poultry and proper management of sick and dead animals; and 3) hygienic farming practices including disinfection of the poultry premises with detergent and personal disinfection procedures like handwashing, hand sanitization, and overall sanitization (Fasina et al., 2016). With small-scale, free-range poultry farms, Alhaji & Odetokun (2011) recommend an emphasis on physical barriers to segregate humans and birds, together with cleaning and disinfection to protect poultry keepers against HPAI infection. They suggest that confinement of free-range flocks is not likely to result in a major reduction in HPAI risk levels. However, the authors noted that community-led initiatives may be necessary in areas where free-range poultry farming is widely practiced to protect the larger community from poor practices of bird owners.

To improve the adoption of preventive practices among farmers in Nigeria, Elelu (2017) proposed a participatory approach involving all poultry sector stakeholders for HPAI control. The author suggested that such an approach to developing control strategies would improve ownership and uptake of preventive measures among the high-risk groups because the participants would understand the benefits of the preventive measures. Elelu also noted that poultry farmers who had been in the business longer were more likely to adopt preventive measures compared to recent entrants into the poultry business. The researcher proposed that more experienced poultry farmers could be trained as advocates for HPAI prevention and then educate other farmers of the risk of HPAI. He suggested that poultry farmers may be more likely to adopt preventive strategies that were promoted by members of their community. Fasanmi et al. (2016) suggested that compensating farmers for culled birds would encourage the cooperation of LBM operators and support the voluntary reporting of outbreaks in the markets.

Surveillance and reporting measures are critical to prevent and control HPAI outbreaks. Lafond et al. (2014) suggested that reporting channels and reporting system requirements be clearly communicated to participating stakeholders, and efforts should be made to remove barriers to reporting. After the HPAI outbreak in Nigeria in 2016, physician training on HPAI was effective at increasing awareness of the country's reporting system among health care providers, regardless of clinical capacity, hospital type, or years of experience of the physicians (Lafond et al., 2014). Lafond et al. (2014) also found that most physicians surveyed in 2014 knew that HPAI was a reportable disease; however, despite the investments in training workshops and awareness of reporting, most physicians did not know the appropriate reporting channels or how to make a report. Furthermore, 93% of the physicians reported at least one obstacle to reporting within one of three main categories: 1) physician knowledge and perception; 2) physician time and resources; and 3) reporting system infrastructure. The authors also recommended improvements in the reporting of suspected HPAI deaths and outbreaks in birds; they suggested that community leaders who are trusted by farmers could play an essential role in communicating reports of suspected cases of HPAI.

Psychosocial effects of HPAI outbreaks on farmers should be considered during policy development and implementation (Fasina et al., 2010a). Providing technical, structural, financial, and psychosocial support to farmers who are directly affected by an HPAI outbreak may serve as a gateway for other awarenessand education-focused interventions.

Mass media is most useful in reaching poultry farmers and the general populace. The study by Adam, Qasim, and Kazeem (2014) among poultry farmers and live poultry sellers in Benin City, Nigeria revealed that the radio (57.7%) and television (82.5%) were the most frequently cited sources of HPAI-related information. The same study showed that other less effective sources of information about HPAI were newspaper (39.3%), colleagues (40.6%), place of worship (4.3%), seminars (22.2%), and health worker (20.1%). Similarly, Fatiregun and Saani (2008) showed that avian influenza knowledge scores were significantly higher among participants who had heard about HPAI through the mass media (74.3%) compared to those receiving information through other sources such as health professionals (9.3%), poultry workers association (7.9%), internet (7.1%) and schools (7.1%). Fatiregun and Saani noted however, that hearing about avian influenza from family and friends (27%), and an employer (20.7%) also were significantly associated with a higher knowledge score.

Brucella

Table 3. Summary of results, Brucella

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Brucellosis (cattle, dogs, camels)	Peer- reviewed articles (19) Reports (2)	Côte d'Ivoire (2) Ghana (1) Niger (1) Nigeria (14) Togo (1) Regional (2)	Livestock keepers Meat processors Meat consumers Dog owners Veterinarians	HUMANS Consumption of unpasteurized milk or undercooked meat Contact with aborted fetuses or vaginal or amniotic fluids Airborne contaminated particles ANIMALS Not vaccinating Introducing new animals into herds without quarantine, testing, or vaccination Sharing bulls Feeding cow fetuses to dogs	HUMANS Raise awareness Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Wash hands before and after milking Wear protective clothing when assisting with delivery/abortion and wash hands with soap afterwards Separate animals from living spaces ANIMALS Vaccinate Mineral supplementation Not mixing herds (with other species or other herds) Test and cull infected animals	Lack of knowledge of disease and risk factors Infrastructure of farms and living spaces leads to shared spaces between several types of animals and humans Cultural and culinary practices Lack of economic means to vaccinate

Brucellosis is an infectious disease caused by bacteria that can be present in cattle, sheep, goats, camels, horses, and dogs among other animals (Ehizibolo, 2011). In animals it may cause abortion, infertility, and decreased milk production (Mai, Irons, Kabir & Thompson, 2013). In humans it causes fever and debilitation and is fatal in 1 to 5% of untreated cases (Ducrotoy et al., 2014). Brucellosis is often misdiagnosed as malaria or typhoid fever (Adesokan, Alabi, Stack, & Cadmus, 2013). It is highly contagious and can be transmitted from animals to humans through contact of any skin abrasions with aborted animal fetuses or vaginal or amniotic fluids, the consumption of unpasteurized milk and dairy products and undercooked meat, or, less commonly, through the inhalation of airborne animal manure

particles (Ehizibolo, 2011). Animal-to-animal transmission occurs through mucus membranes following contact with infected materials, by inhalation, and *in utero* (Mai et al., 2013). There is an animal vaccine, but no human vaccine and no significant human-to-human transmission (Ducrotoy et al., 2017). Increasing demand for animal products, the intensification of livestock production, and movement of animals (pastoral systems) can increase the risk of brucellosis.

Screening tests for brucellosis include the Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) which is the most affordable but also has a high rate of false-positives in small ruminants; the "modified RBPT;" and the indirect/competitive ELISA (Kanouté, Grangnon, Schidler, & Bonfoh, 2017). The different tests often result in different seropositivity results. The disease largely affects livestock and livestock keepers, meat processors and consumers, and dog owners.

Livestock and livestock keepers

Livestock keepers are the primary population that is at risk for brucellosis due to their close contact with cattle, sheep, and goats, the primary at-risk animal populations. We divide the discussion of risk factors for brucellosis transmission into risk factors for humans and risk factors for animals.

Among humans, the primary risk factors for contracting brucellosis include consumption of unpasteurized milk or undercooked meat; contact with amniotic fluids, placentas, and aborted fetuses; and inhalation of infected airborne particles (due to close physical contact between humans and animals). Many of the studies included in the review highlight these risk factors.

A study of brucellosis among 178 cattle farmers in Ghana found a seroprevalence of 10% and found several factors to be significantly associated with the likelihood of testing positive (Tasiame, Emikpe, & Folitse, 2016). Assisting cows during delivery, milking cows, not washing hands before or after milking cows, and consuming raw milk were significantly associated with the presence of brucellosis in humans (Tasiame et al., 2016). Young males between the ages of 11 and 20 engaged more frequently in these high-risk activities and were more likely to test positive.

A cross-sectional study involving 113 Fulani pastoralists and their herds of cattle in north-central Nigeria tested 672 cattle and found a cattle-level seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis of 1.9% and a herd-level seroprevalence of 9.7% (Alhaji, Wungak, & Bertu, 2016). These researchers identified drinking unpasteurized milk, eating infected raw meat, and handling aborted fetuses and placentas of infected animals as risk factors.

Only a few studies have explored determinants of risk behaviors like knowledge and risk perception. One very informative study among 222 cattle farmers in Senegal found that 68.9% of respondents did not know or had never heard of diseases that are naturally transmitted between animals and man (Tebug et al., 2015). Of those who had heard or knew of zoonosis, 94.2% named at least one zoonotic disease (N=69). Almost twenty-eight percent (27.5%) named rabies, 13% named bovine tuberculosis, 5.8% named mange, 2.9% named anthrax, 2.9% named ringworm, and 42% named disease symptoms like fever and diarrhea. No one named bovine brucellosis. Only 21.7% could name a mode of transmission of zoonotic infection between animals and humans (Tebug et al., 2015). In terms of risk perception, only 11.3% of the farmers considered exposure to zoonosis during routine farm activities to be likely. Approximately twenty-nine percent (28.8%) said zoonosis is preventable and 33.8% felt close relatives had suffered from possible zoonotic infections such as tuberculosis in the past. One hundred eight out of 222 (48.6%) agreed that zoonotic infections could be a serious health hazard to humans in their community (Tebug et al., 2015). In terms of risk behaviors, 95% of participants drank fresh milk without prior heat treatment; 12.2% drank unpasteurized milk products; 70.3% assisted animals during parturition or abortion (among those, 98.1% neither used protective gloves nor washed their hands with disinfectants or soap after assisting animals); 89.6% disposed of aborted fetuses and dead animals on pastures and do not take special precautionary measures such as incineration or deep burial for aborted or dead fetuses (Tebug et al., 2015).

Other studies address only knowledge and behaviors. A study among 88 people in Côte d'Ivoire (mostly Fulani men), found that 90% had heard of brucellosis, 27% knew it could be transmitted to humans, 90% consumed raw milk, and 60% reported recurring fever (Kanouté et al., 2017). Four of the participants tested positive for brucellosis, but the sample was too small to test associations between risk factors and brucellosis in humans. Problematically, 50% of participants had disposed of aborted materials in public bodies of water (Kanouté et al., 2017).

Semi-structured interviews with 54 livestock keepers and 103 livestock marketers in southwestern Nigeria revealed that 73% of participants did not know what brucellosis was, 97% did not know its causes, 62% did not know its symptoms in animals, 95% did not know its symptoms in humans, and 65% did not know that it can spread from animals to humans (Adesokan et al., 2013). Adesokan et al. also found that among the livestock keepers, 100% drank fresh or soured cow or goat milk and shared living spaces with animals, 28% ate uncooked meat, 89% participated in milking, 43% participated in slaughtering, 11% handled aborted materials, and 78% moved cow dung. Among livestock marketers, 98% drank fresh or soured cow or goat milk, 12% ate uncooked meat, 64% shared living spaces with animals, 43% participated in milking, 85% participated in slaughtering, 82% handled aborted materials, and 79% moved cow dung.

Most of the studies about animals were conducted primarily regarding cattle. Risk factors for brucellosis include herding different species together (Kanouté et al., 2017; Mai et al., 2013), age (Boukary et al., 2012; Cadmus, Alabi, Adesokan, Dale, & Stack, 2013; Junaidu, Oboegbulem, & Salihu, 2011; Kanouté et al., 2017; Sanogo et al., 2012), herd size (Sanogo et al., 2012), location (Boukary et al., 2012), breed (Cadmus et al., 2013; Junaidu et al., 2011), sex (Junaidu et al., 2011), season (Junaidu et al., 2011), cattle production systems (trade, sedentary, or transhumant) (Boukary et al., 2012; Kanouté et al., 2017), introduction of new animals into herds (Cadmus et al., 2013; Mai et al., 2013), and borrowing or sharing bulls (Mai et al., 2013). Signs of brucellosis infection included history of abortion and retained placenta (Dean et al., 2013) and joint hygromas (Kanouté et al., 2017). Across the many studies, multiple risk factors are significantly associated with brucellosis infection.

In Côte d'Ivoire, a study of brucellosis among 907 cattle found a seroprevalence of 10.3% and significant associations with age and herd size (seropositivity more likely among cattle aged ≥5 years than cattle aged ≤3 years and in herds with more than 100 cattle) (Sanogo et al., 2012). Breed, sex, and locality were not found to be significantly associated (Sanogo et al., 2012). Herd size was interpreted by the authors to be related to high cattle density on pasture and age was interpreted to be related to increased accumulated chance of being exposed given that screening and culling strategies are not widespread in Côte d'Ivoire (Sanogo et al., 2012). Another study in Côte d'Ivoire by Kanouté et al. (2017) on 633 cattle and 622 small ruminants as well as 88 people found that brucellosis was present among 4.6% of cattle and that brucellosis seroprevalence was associated with age (cattle aged 5–8 years had greater odds of testing positive for brucellosis than cattle aged ≤4 years), joint hygromas (swelling over carpal joint in legs), sharing pastures with goats and sheep, and contact with pastoralist herds.

In Ghana, a study by Tasiame, Emikpe, and Folitse (2016) of 315 cattle found a seroprevalence of brucellosis of 23%. Having a history of abortion and ever having a retained placenta were significantly associated with testing positive for brucellosis.

In Niger, a study of 5,192 animals (goats, sheep, cattle) from 681 herds found a herd-level seroprevalence of brucellosis of 12.8% (urban), 11.2% (peri-urban) and 17.2% (rural) (Boukary et al., 2012). Seroprevalence was found to be associated with the urban/rural location, the size of herds (>50), the age of the animals, and transhumance (Boukary, et al., 2012).

In Nigeria, a study of 4,745 animals across 271 cattle herds in northern Nigeria found that the presence of sheep and/or goats on the same farm as cattle, buying three or more new animals in the previous year, integration of new animals into the herd without practicing quarantine, and borrowing or sharing bulls were all associated with increased odds of herd-level brucellosis, as well as increased numbers of animals that tested positive for brucellosis within the herd (Mai et al., 2013). In this study, herds that received mineral supplementation had significantly fewer animals that tested positive. In another large study in Nigeria of 1,547 cattle from 65 herds, 19.71% tested positive for brucellosis (Junaidu et al., 2011). In this study, age (older), sex (female), breed, and season (dry season) were significantly associated with seropositivity. A third study from Nigeria of 279 cattle found age (>3 years) and breed to be significantly associated with seropositivity, and sex, production system (trade or sedentary), and herd size not to be significantly associated (Cadmus et al., 2013). They recommend routine serological screening before introducing new animals into an existing herd. Another study in southwestern Nigeria among 120 herders and their cattle revealed that herd location and improper disposal of placenta/fetus were important risk factors for transmission of brucella among cattle herds. Cattle herds from Oyo were more likely than those from Lagos to be infected with brucella. Herds where placenta/fetus were not properly disposed were also more likely to be seropositive to brucellosis than those that engaged in proper disposal. Similarly, among cattle that were tested with the milk ring test (MRT), the analysis revealed that herd location and size, and improper disposal of placenta/fetus, were important risk factors for transmission of brucella infection in cattle herds. Herds with 50 cattle or more were about 3 times more likely than those with smaller herd size to be seropositive for brucellosis, while herds with

occurrence of abortion for more than 1 year were 5 times more likely to be seropositive for brucella compared to those who were exposed for less than 1 year. The authors recommended targeted brucellosis prevention and control measures involving compulsory vaccination, quarantine, movement restriction, using the "One Health" approach to involve private and public health institutions in prevention and control measures and periodic screening of animals, and epidemiological investigations targeted at high-risk groups (Oguga et al., 2018).

In Togo, a study among livestock holders tested 683 people, 596 cattle from villages, 464 transhumant cattle, 465 sheep, and 221 goats for brucellosis and found the prevalence among humans to be very low (3–5 people, depending on the test) even though the majority of participants consume unboiled milk products (Dean et al., 2013). Prevalence among cattle from villages was 9.25% and among transhumant cattle, 7.3%. No prevalence was detected among sheep or goats. Adjusting for age, they found that cows that had aborted a fetus during the previous year were more likely to be positive for brucellosis. Sex and age were not predictors of seropositivity (Dean et al., 2013).

Finally, camels have been found to have *Brucella* antibodies in a study of 980 camels in northern Nigeria (Salisu et al., 2017). The authors attributed the infection to poor management practices, mixing camels with other species of livestock during grazing, and unrestricted movement of camels across international borders.

Other groups at risk for brucellosis

Other groups identified in the literature as at risk for brucellosis infection include meat processors and consumers, and dog owners. A study of bovine brucellosis in 8,105 cattle slaughtered over three years in Nigeria found an overall seroprevalence of 3.9% and that sex and breed were significantly associated with seropositivity (Akinseye et al., 2016). Also in Nigeria, the practice of consuming gravid uterus and the use of gravid uterus by traditional healers to induce labor and treat infertility and old age was associated with a lack of knowledge that eating undercooked contaminated gravid uterus can expose humans to brucellosis (Adesokan et al., 2013).

Several studies explored the prevalence of brucellosis in dog populations, highlighting the practice of feeding dogs fetuses and raw meat from slaughtered cattle and the close cohabitation of dogs with livestock. A study in southwestern Nigeria found brucellosis among 11.0% of 739 dogs tested (Ayoola, et al., 2016). Another study in Nigeria found a seroprevalence of 5.5% among a sample of 366 dogs (Cadmus et al., 2011). Eleven of the 20 positive dogs in this study had a history of being fed fetuses from cows. A third study of brucellosis in dogs in Nigeria found that presence of the infection was not significantly associated with age, sex, breed, or location (Momoh, Ijale, Ajogi, & Okolocha, 2014).

Prevention recommendations

In order to prevent the transmission of brucellosis between animals, authors recommend mass vaccination in cattle (Kanouté et al., 2017), mineral supplementation (Mai et al., 2013), quarantining or

testing new animals before introducing to a herd (Mai et al., 2013), not mixing animals of different species (Kanouté et al., 2017; Mai et al., 2013), limiting contact with other animals or herds (Kanouté et al., 2017), and testing and culling potentially infected animals (Sanogo et al., 2013).

Recommendations also include treating fresh milk with heat before consumption and pasteurizing milk products, fully cooking meat, wearing protective gloves while helping animals during parturition or abortion, washing hands with disinfectants or soaps after assisting animals, and burying dead animals deep in the ground or incinerating them (Tebug, 2015).

Mycobacterium (Bovine Tuberculosis)

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
DISEASE Mycobacterium (Bovine Tuberculosis)	SOURCES Peer- reviewed articles (8)	COUNTRIES Ghana (2) Niger (2) Nigeria (3)	POPULATIONS Livestock keepers Meat processors Meat consumers Veterinarians	RISK FACTORS HUMANS Consumption of unpasteurized milk or undercooked meat Contact with animal carcasses Airborne contaminated particles	INTERVENTIONS HUMANS Raise awareness Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Wash hands before and after milking Wear protective	BARRIERS Lack of knowledge of disease and risk factors Infrastructure of farms and living spaces leads to shared spaces between several types of animals and humans Certain cultural
				particles <u>ANIMALS</u> Introducing new animals into herds without quarantine, testing, or vaccination Sharing bulls Movement of cattle Breed type Farm size/management system	vear protective clothing when slaughtering and processing meat and wash hands with soap afterwards Separate animals from living spaces <u>ANIMALS</u> Vaccinate Provide mineral supplementation Do not mix herds (with other species or other herds) Test and cull infected animals	Certain cultural and culinary practices Lack of economic means to vaccinate
					Discard carcasses with lesions (do not sell or consume)	

Bovine tuberculosis is contracted by humans through the consumption of undercooked and infected animal products, infected carcasses, and airborne particles (for example, in small shared spaces or from coughing cattle) (Boukary et al., 2011; Ehizibolo, Ehizibolo, Ehizibolo, Sugun, & Idachaba, 2011). Human-to-human transmission has not been confirmed (Hambolu, Freeman & Taddese, 2013). Bovine tuberculosis presents with symptoms similar to common tuberculosis and is often resistant to

tuberculosis treatment (Ehizibolo et al., 2011). Estimates for Africa suggest that 5–7% of human tuberculosis cases may be attributable to bovine tuberculosis, but data are incomplete (Hambolu et al., 2013).

Risk factors

Risk factors for bovine tuberculosis infection among humans include the consumption of undercooked meat or infected unpasteurized milk, close contact between humans and animals, poor hygiene practices on farms and slaughter houses, and an increase in the practice of animal husbandry in a given geographic area (Amemor et al., 2017; Ehizibolo et al., 2011). Strategies to mitigate the risk of transmission of bovine tuberculosis include testing and slaughtering infected animals, compensation for culled livestock, education and training of high-risk populations, mass vaccination of animals, restricting and controlling movement of animals, adopting hygienic processing and consumption practices for meat and milk, safe disposal of animal waste and improved by-product management, and improved collaboration between medical and veterinary sectors (Ehizibolo et al., 2011).

In Ghana, a study of 200 cattle found a seroprevalence of bovine tuberculosis of 19%. Among the potential risk factors considered, they found only kraal density to be significantly associated with seropositivity (Amemor et al., 2017). Age, sex, breed, and type of husbandry were not significantly associated with seropositivity and neither were cough/runny nose or having treated with antimicrobials. Sixty-eight herdsmen (mostly males between the ages of 11 and 20) were also tested with no positive results even though 89.7% consumed fresh milk, 83.8% did not wear protective clothing during herding and milking, and 70.6% shared bulls with other farms (Amemor et al., 2017).

In Niger, a survey of 51 households and 393 cattle over the age of 4 years found a prevalence of bovine tuberculosis among cattle of 3.6% (Boukary et al. 2011). In terms of risk behaviors, 68% of household heads said that their families consume exclusively unpasteurized milk, 51% said milking equipment was cleaned using well or creek water, and quarantine of new animals was practiced by only 25.5%. The only risk factor significantly associated with bovine tuberculosis, however, was the presence of animals coughing in the herd (Boukary et al., 2011).

Also in Niger, a longitudinal study of the presence of tuberculosis-like lesions in slaughtered animals in the abattoir of the capital Niamey found that the lesions were significantly associated with species (more prevalent in cattle and camels than in sheep or goats), the rainy season, the origin of the animals, female animals, and those of lower weight (Boukary et al., 2011). In terms of human risk factors, a survey of 1,131 randomly selected households (Boukary et al., 2012) found that across rural, peri-urban and urban areas, 65.7–92.1% of respondents did not control animal mating, 85.5–93.7% consumed unpasteurized milk, 0.5–1.4% used disinfectants to clean kitchen implements used to prepare food from animal products, 48.6–58.8% had observed animals in their herds with severe weight loss despite good diet, 18–27% had animals who had died after a persistent cough, and 22.8–43.1% knew people suffering from persistent cough.

A study of 1,360 cattle in Nigeria found a seroprevalence rate of bovine tuberculosis of 5.74% (Cadmus et al., 2010). In a multivariate logistic regression model, female sex, larger herd size, older age, and breed (*Bos taurus taurus* more susceptible than *Bos taurus indicus*) were significantly and positively associated with bovine tuberculosis. Another study in Nigeria (Okeke et al., 2016) found evidence of tuberculosis lesions on the carcasses of 11.2% of 52,262 cattle slaughtered between 2007 and 2012.

A third study in Nigeria used the Health Belief Model to explore the practice of eating infected lung among cattle meat handlers (Hambolu D, 2013). A total of 349 meat processors responded to demographic, knowledge, perceived severity, perceived susceptibility, perceived barriers, self-efficacy, and cue-to-action items.

A fourth study in Nigeria evaluated the prevalence of mycobacterial infections and their associated risk factors among 45 cattle herds and trade cattle in southwestern Nigeria. The results showed that farm size/management system, and breed in all urban, peri-urban, and rural areas combined, as well as sex in the peri-urban and urban areas were significant factors associated with positive reactivity among cattle screened. Cattle screened in medium size herds which were extensively managed showed a higher likelihood of being positive reactors compared to those who were in small size herds and managed semi-intensively. The results also showed that breed was an important risk factor; in comparison to the Bunaji breed, the Sokoto Gudali breed showed a higher likelihood of having a positive reaction to the testing, while the Rahaji breed exhibited lesser likelihood of having a positive reaction (Akinseye et al., 2018).

In terms of risk behaviors, 88.8% of these respondents reported that they do not wear protective clothing when handling raw meat, 28.1% sell meat even if it has signs of contamination, 21.5% eat infected lung before selling, 14.3% eat raw meat before selling, and 14% do not wash hands after handling raw meat (Hambolu D, 2013). In terms of knowledge, 40.7% said that tuberculosis could spread from animals to humans through undercooked contaminated meat, contaminated milk (4.3%), and airborne particles (2.6%). In addition, 18.9% said that healthy looking meat can be infected, 34.11% that consumption of contaminated meat can be a source of bovine tuberculosis infection in humans, and 28.1% that consumption of infected lung is a source of bovine tuberculosis infection in humans. Across the knowledge questions, about 40% of participants responded, "don't know." In a multivariate logistic regression model, male gender, the knowledge that eating infected lung is a source of BTB infection in humans, and the belief that "I need to taste meat before selling to show that it is safe" were significantly and positively associated with consuming infected lung. Agreeing with the statement "I am at increased risk of contracting BTB when I don't wash my hands after handling carcasses" was significantly and negatively associated with consuming infected lung. Participants felt that educational programs, free protective clothing, adequate compensation for cooperation, government-imposed penalties, and television and radio advertisements might help change behavior (Hambolu D, 2013).

Lopez (2016) suggests that low bovine tuberculosis prevalence in parts of the world can be attributed to surveillance activities including testing of meat, tracing back carcasses where bovine tuberculosis is

suspected, condemning infected carcasses or organs, restricting the movement of infected herds, periodic testing and slaughter or segregation, pasteurization of milk, and restriction of breeding (Lopes, 2016). Some countries in West Africa, such as Ghana, have put many of these policies in place, but enforcement is lacking (Lopes, 2016). An evaluation of the bovine tuberculosis surveillance system in the greater Accra area found that the system was able to detect some cases (through testing meat and targeted screening), but that the system was far from fully functional. Challenges to the surveillance system include irregular allocation of funds and a lack of a specific budget for bovine tuberculosis, inadequate staffing, inadequate infrastructure (testing locations, data systems), limited ability to enforce slaughter of infected animals, limited ability to trace infected carcasses back to the herd, limited surveillance of private farms, and refusal of testing of carcasses among butchers (Lopes, 2016).

Recommendations

Recommendations for limiting the spread of *Mycobacterium* include investing more resources, increasing awareness among farmers and key stakeholders, offering compensation to farmers whose animals test positive, training all veterinary officers, creating databases of cattle farms by district, and testing animals used for breeding (Lopes, 2016).

Plague

Plague is an infectious disease that is caused by *Yersinia pestis* bacterium, commonly found in rodents. It is spread by flea bites from an infected animal, from handling infected animals (bubonic or septicemic plague), or through airborne droplet particles (pneumonic plague) coughed or sneezed near a person. Transmission can also occur through direct physical contact with an infected person, through indirect contact such as touching contaminated soil or another contaminated surface, or by fecal-oral transmission—usually from contaminated food or water sources.

Eight articles were returned in the search for plague. None of the articles met the criteria for inclusion in the literature review and report.
Rabies

Table 5. Summary of results, rabies

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Rabies (dog, cattle, pig, human)	Peer- reviewed articles (12) Reports (3)	Côte d'Ivoire (1) Ghana (1) Mali (3) Nigeria (7) Sierra Leone (1) Regional (2)	General public Dog owners Children/parents Health care workers Traditional healers Veterinarians	Dog bites Dog meat processing and consumption	Mass canine vaccination campaigns Awareness campaigns	Lack of knowledge of where and when to vaccinate Cost of vaccinating dogs Availability of vaccination points—taking dogs to the veterinarian takes time, is inconvenient (transporting animal), and costly Stray dogs

Africa is the continent second-most affected by rabies with an estimated 14,160 (36%) of the 59,000 global rabies deaths each year (Mauti, 2017). In Africa, rabies is primarily transmitted by dog bites and many of the victims are children (Eke, Omotowo, Ukoha, & Ibe, 2015). Treatment for rabies requires timely post-exposure vaccination (before the bite victim exhibits any symptoms) and, in cases of severe exposure, rabies immunoglobulins (RIGs) (Dodet et al., 2008). Most West African countries import cell culture vaccines, however the vaccines (especially RIG) are not always available and may be unaffordable for some patients as they are subsidized only in a few West African countries (Dodet et al., 2008).

The literature is comprised primarily of studies and interventions focused on the control of rabies among dogs and among humans. Two additional studies (Suluku et al., 2017; Tasiame, 2016) discuss rabies in pigs and cattle following dog bites and the risk of transmission to humans when the meat from infected animals is consumed; however, these are not discussed in detail here.

Among dogs, rabies control efforts reflected in the literature include information and education programs, mass vaccination campaigns, and promotion of safe consumption of dog meat. The literature includes discussion of populations of stray dogs as a problem, but none of the interventions directly addressed stray dogs.

Mass canine vaccination campaigns

Lavan, King, Sutton, and Tunceli (2017) argue that canine vaccination is the most cost-effective strategy to control human rabies exposure in rabies-endemic areas. Rabies control experts recommend achieving 70% vaccination among domestic dog populations in order to greatly reduce or even eliminate the incidence of rabies (Lavan et al., 2017). One stand-alone study two implementation evaluations conducted in Bamako, Mali, shed light on the communication and implementation challenges associated with raising vaccination coverage among domestic dog populations.

The stand-alone study, a cross-sectional survey of 2,956 households in Bamako indicated that 90% of respondents were aware of rabies, biting and change in behavior were the most commonly cited signs of rabid dogs, and the most frequent response was to kill the dog (Mauti, 2017). Sixty-five percent of non-dog owners and 81% of dog owners were aware that vaccination of the dog can prevent rabies, but only 29% of dog owners were aware that dogs should be first vaccinated against rabies at 3 months of age (Mauti, 2017). Forty-five percent of the 306 dogs in households surveyed were reported to be vaccinated at least once, but only half had a valid vaccination card. The primary reasons cited for non-vaccination were cost (31%), negligence (15%), thought the vaccine was useless (14%), and lack of knowledge (11%) (Mauti, 2017). This study suggests that although awareness of rabies and of rabies vaccinations may be high, awareness alone may be insufficient to prompt dog owners to vaccinate their dogs; price of the vaccination and inconvenience may be barriers.

Another cross-sectional study among 224 residents in Nigeria collected data on knowledge of and attitudes related to rabies prevention. Most of the respondents had satisfactory knowledge of rabies prevention (82%), as well as positive attitudes towards rabies prevention (74%). Among those respondents who owned dogs, 75% reported satisfactory practices towards rabies prevention. All of the respondents were aware that the bite of a rabid dog is the mode of transmission for rabies, dogs are the main reservoir of rabies in Nigeria, rabies is fatal, rabies can lead to death, and that rabies can be prevented by vaccination. The majority of the respondents knew that rabies affects both humans and animals (84%), knew the signs observed in a rabid dog (89%), and knew where to obtain rabies vaccinations for their pets (87%); however, less than half knew that rabies vaccinations are given annually (42%) and that rabies cannot be cured after symptoms appear (42%). Approximately 88% would report a case of dog bite to the local authorities, while nearly three-quarters (74%) would be willing to euthanize their pet if it was found to be rabid. More than 84% of the respondents said the presence of stray dogs annoyed them and 75% said they would support euthanizing stray dogs. Many respondents (87%) would seek medical care at the hospital when bitten by a dog, while only 37% would apply the appropriate first aid treatment in the event of a dog bite. The study found that the practice of responsible dog ownership was high: 65% of dog owners housed their dogs in kennels, but could allow them to freely roam within the compound. The majority (94%) of dog owners used veterinary services, and had vaccinated their dogs against rabies and other diseases. Dog owners were 7.8 times more likely to have satisfactory knowledge of rabies compared to respondents who were not dog owners. Single respondents were more likely to have positive attitudes about rabies than those participants who were married. Dog owners were 2.6 times more likely to be annoyed by the presence of stray dogs than those who did not own dogs. Overall, the results of the survey showed that knowledge, attitudes and practices with regards to rabies prevention were high among the study population; however, there were some gaps around knowledge of rabies cure, lack of awareness of first aid treatment after a dog bite, as well as the danger posted by allowing dogs to stray (Edukugho et al., 2018).

The two free central-point mass canine vaccination campaigns also took place in Bamako (Muthiani, Traoré, Mauti, Zinsstag, & Hattendorf, 2015; Mosimann et al., 2017). The first resulted in only an estimated 17% coverage due to lack of information (25%), inability to handle the dog (16%), or no clear reason (37%) (Muthiani et al., 2015). The authors concluded that there was a need to improve the implementation and communication around the mass canine vaccination campaign. A follow-up free central-point canine rabies vaccination campaign was implemented and evaluated through a mixedmethods evaluation (Mosimann et al., 2017). The campaign broadcast radio announcements to increase awareness and risk perception and communicate the details of the vaccination campaign. Messages were also transmitted through town criers, neighborhood chiefs, mosques, and government veterinary services. During the five-day campaign, 429 dogs were vaccinated, but estimated vaccination coverage reached only 27% and 20% in the two participating communes. According to Muthiani et al., (2015) and Mosimann et al., (2017), the primary reason for non-participation was that dog owners were "not informed." In general, households closer to the vaccination points were more likely to participate. Some participants suggested informing people through short text message (SMS) and door-to-door campaigning. One-third of the people who did participate in the vaccination campaign were informed by another person, highlighting the importance of word-of-mouth.

In 2017, the Sierra Leone One Health zoonotic disease prioritization exercise ranked rabies as the 2nd most important priority zoonotic disease. The literature is sparse on the behavioral factors that drive the transmission of rabies in Sierra Leone. A few studies, however, cover the ecology of the dog population in Sierra Leone and dog-human interactions.

Sierra Leone has one of the densest populations of stray dogs in the world, and in Freetown there are an estimated 100,000 stray dogs (World Animal Protection, 2018). A majority of dog owners that participated in a study conducted by Suluku et al. (2012) in Freetown stated that they spent next to nothing financially on their pets. Feeding their pet dogs is not a high priority for many dog owners which may explain the large number of stray dogs that roam around garbage dumps in Freetown (Suluku, Abu-Bakarr, Johnny, & Jonsyn-Ellis, 2012). In the first quarter of 2018, there were 327 recorded animal bites across the country (SL MOHS, 2018), however this number may be an underestimation from poor reporting and surveillance (MOAFFS & MOHS, 2015). The alarming number of animal bites raises concerns about the transmission of rabies in Sierra Leone as dogs are the primary reservoir of rabies in Africa (Suluku et al., 2012).

To manage the dog population problem in Freetown, the Freetown City Council partnered with the Sierra Leone Animal Welfare Society (SLAWS) to spay, neuter, and vaccinate dogs against rabies at no charge to dog owners. So far, the society has vaccinated about 50,000 dogs and sterilized over 45,000

dogs. Success of the program is hampered by a lack of knowledge of rabies in the community and low awareness of the program. In 2008, a study on dog owners in Freetown reported that only 55% of study participants had taken their dog to receive the free vaccination provided by SLAWS (Suluku et al., 2012). Non-compliant participants neglected to vaccinate their dogs due to their lack of knowledge about rabies (74%) and their lack of awareness about the program (26%). There is little information on the availability and cost for human rabies vaccination in Sierra Leone within the published peer review or grey literature.

These interventions and accompanying evaluations suggest that even when the vaccine is free, not all dog owners will take the time to bring their dogs to a central vaccination point. Door-to-door vaccination campaigns might be more successful as there are indirect costs to dog owners in terms of time/income even when the vaccination is free. Alternatively, scheduling the vaccination campaign during school holidays might be successful as many of the dogs were brought to the vaccination point by children. The vaccination was generally found to be acceptable to dog owners, and dog owners who vaccinated reported doing so to protect the safety of family members and neighbors, for the dog's well-being, and to avoid problems in the case of a dog bite.

Trade and consumption of dog meat

In Nigeria, the trade and consumption of dog meat generates risk factors for rabies stemming from a lack of awareness of the availability of human anti-rabies vaccines, low vaccination coverage in dogs, lack of protective gear among dog meat processors, dog bites, and exposure of cuts to saliva and brain tissue during dog meat processing (Ameh, Dzikwi, & Umoh, 2014).

Through interviews with a convenience sample of 49 dog meat processors and 111 dog meat consumers, a study in Kaduna State, Nigeria, found that 28% of respondents thought that rabies "cannot kill," 37.5% thought that "slaughterers and processors of dog meat are at risk of rabies," and 63.8% thought that "rabid animal can be consumed" (Odeh, Umoh, & Dzikwi, 2013). This study found that education was associated with greater rabies knowledge. In addition, 154 brain samples from slaughtered dogs showed a 3.9% prevalence of the rabies antigen.

In the Ameh, Dzikwi, and Umoh (2014) study related to the dog trade in Nigeria, the authors sampled 188 dog brains (purchased from dog meat vendors) and found that 7.98% were positive for rabies antigen. They found also that education and occupation were significantly and positively associated with rabies knowledge among 200 dog owners in Nigeria.

The authors of a study about the dog meat trade in Nigeria recommended regulation of the trade, the vaccination of dogs, public awareness campaigns, and that dog meat processors take the pre-exposure rabies prophylaxis and wear protective clothing (Ameh et al., 2014).

Rabies in humans

Among humans, the literature reflects a focus on raising awareness among the population and health care professionals regarding the risk of exposure and timely treatment. According to a report drafted by rabies experts from 14 francophone African countries, people who are exposed to rabies do not seek

treatment either because they are not aware of the risks of rabies or because they live in rural areas, too far away from rabies prevention centers where the vaccine is available (Dodet et al., 2008). In addition, bite victims often consult traditional healers and seek medical help when it is too late (Dodet et al., 2008; Dzikwi, Ibrahim, & Umoh, 2012; Eke et al., 2015). In the case of timely care seeking, the availability and cost of the vaccine can present barriers to access.

The literature recommends public awareness campaigns around vaccinations, the danger of dog bites, appropriate first aid, and where to seek care. The public should be educated about responsible dog ownership (Eke et al., 2015) and laws around dog vaccination should be enforced (Dzikwi, et al, 2012). Children, often victims of rabies, should be educated not to play with stray dogs, and parents and teachers should be taught to wash bites immediately with soap and water, seek immediate medical care, and report biting dogs to a veterinary clinic (Dzikwi, et al., 2012). Rabies experts proposed that "Thorough washing of the wound for a minimum of 15 minutes with soap and water, detergent, or iodine can prevent or reduce the risk of infection by eliminating or inactivating the virus" (Dodet et al., 2008). Others propose training at all levels of health care for treatment of dog bites (Eke et al., 2015), including common "first responders" like roadside medicine vendors, traditional healers, and pharmacists (Ekanem et al., 2013).

Summary

The literature suggests there is a need for public awareness campaigns around dog vaccinations (legal responsibilities, cost, location, timing, and frequency of vaccinations), the danger of dog meat processing and consumption, the danger of dog bites, proper first aid, where to seek treatment, timely treatment seeking, and the fatality of rabies (Dodet et al., 2008; Mauti, 2017; Mosimann et al., 2017; Muthiani et al., 2015). Barriers to dog vaccination may include lack of knowledge, cost, and inconvenience (Mauti, 2017). Barriers to proper first aid and timely treatment seeking may include lack of knowledge of risks, where and when to seek treatment, distance to treatment centers, the availability and cost of the vaccine, and the level of training of medical professionals (Dodet et al., 2008. Finally, a barrier to the visibility of rabies in West Africa is the lack of data as many rabies deaths likely go unreported (Dodet et al., 2008); a barrier to mobilization is the lack of coordination between the veterinary and public health sectors (Okello, 2015).

Salmonellosis

Table 6. Summary of results, salmonellosis

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Salmonellosis	Peer- reviewed articles (7)	Burkina Faso (2) Ghana (1) Nigeria (2) Senegal (2)	Poultry, cattle, and swine farmers Butchers Abattoir workers General public	HUMANSHygiene control along meat retail chainConsumption of eggs from plastic egg cratesConsumption of unfermented soft cheeseANIMALSPoor biosecurity measuresMembership of farm in a poultry associationMore than 1- kilometer distance from landfill and other farmsMixed poultry housing systemProximity of other carriers, infected animals, and pestsPrimary profession of farmer (full-time versus private sector)Previous episodes of salmonellaSource of feed	Health communication (education) Strict biosecurity measures Prevent cross- contamination-Use new utensils after manipulating fresh meat	Lack of refrigerators to store meat at low temperatures at home

This section includes seven articles including two from Burkina Faso (Kagambèga, Haukka, Siitonen, Traoré, & Barro, 2011; Kagambèga et al., 2013), two from Nigeria (Fagbamila et al., 2018; Folorunso,

Kayode, & Onibon, 2013), two studies from Senegal (Missohou et al., 2011; Pouillot et al., 2012) and one study from Ghana (Parry-Hanson Kunadu, Holmes, Miller, & Grant, 2018) that examine various aspects around the transmission, risk factors and determinants of salmonellosis across West Africa.

Kagambèga et al. (2013) identify salmonellosis as an important zoonotic disease worldwide and the primary animal sources of *Salmonella* infection in humans as infected cattle, poultry, and swine. Kagambèga et al. (2013) found that in Burkina Faso, *Salmonella* was present in the feces of 52% of the cattle, 55% of the poultry, 16% of the swine, and 96% of the hedgehogs sampled. The presence of *Salmonella* in hedgehog feces is important because hedgehogs are hunted at night and eaten by villagers in Burkina Faso and during the rainy season, the feces of animals including hedgehogs can pollute the water sources such as rivers and wells (Kagambèga et al., 2013). *Salmonella* outbreaks are more commonly associated with contaminated poultry, eggs, and meat (Kagambèga et al., 2013). *Salmonella* bacteria cause a variety of illnesses from mild to severe invasive forms of gastroenteritis and bacteremia (Kagambèga et al., 2013; Parry-Hanson Kunadu et al., 2018).

Risk factors for Salmonella infection in animals

Poor biosecurity practices on farms encourage the introduction and transmission of *Salmonella* in farm animals (Fagbamila et al., 2018; Folorunso et al., 2013). A nationwide survey of layer farms in Nigeria showed that poor hygiene measures for farm visitors, including inadequate protections for shoes, clothing, and hands, were shown to increase the risk of *Salmonella* infection in commercial poultry farms (Fagbamila et al., 2018). In addition, increased movement of visitors and staff on and off farmland was shown to be a risk factor for the introduction of *Salmonella* infection on such farms.

The risk of *Salmonella* contamination was increased in poultry meat from farms less than 1 kilometer from landfills compared to farms more than 1 kilometer from landfills (Missohou et al., 2011). Similarly, Fagbamila et al. (2018) found that farms that were over 1 kilometer away from the nearest farm were associated with increased *Salmonella* risk. The authors hypothesized that the reason was that farmers close to each other paid more attention to manage the risk of *Salmonella* spread.

The type of poultry housing was associated with risk of *Salmonella* on farms. Mixed housing systems on poultry farms, such as the use of both battery cage and deep litter systems, were shown to have an increased risk of *Salmonella* infection compared to those that practiced only one system (either only battery cage or only deep litter system), possibly because the farmers reported that it was more difficult to clean mixed housing systems (Fagbamila et al., 2018).

Other risk factors for *Salmonella* infection on farms identified by Fagbamila et al. (2018) include links to non-governmental support organizations and the use of contaminated equipment. Poultry farmer membership in the Poultry Association of Nigeria, a non-governmental support organization, was shown to present with increased risk of *Salmonella* on the farms of members compared to farms of non-members of the non-governmental organization (NGO). The researchers proposed the sharing of farm equipment among members of NGOs as a possible reason for increased risk of *Salmonella* infection among poultry that belonged to these farmers (Fagbamila et al., 2018).

Oddly, the national survey on commercial laying hen farms in Nigeria reported that regular contact by a health worker was associated with increased odds of farm infection with *Salmonella*. However, the authors noted that regular contacts by a health worker could be indicative of an ongoing or persistent infection on the farm that requires frequent monitoring (Fagbamila et al., 2018).

Fagbamila et al., also reported that the presence of carriers such as wild birds, rodents, and insects, as well as contaminated litter, water, dust, equipment, and animal feed have been shown to influence *Salmonella* introduction and transmission among farm animals and poultry in other African countries outside West Africa (Fagbamila et al., 2018).

Risk factors for Salmonella infection in humans

Kagambèga et al. (2013) found that the defective hygiene control in the meat retail chain and the high *Salmonella* carriage rates of animals were major risk factors for *Salmonella* infection in Burkinabés.

In Dakar, Senegal, a risk assessment showed that cross-contamination of hands and kitchen equipment was the most important risk factor for *Salmonella* infection in homes (Pouillot et al., 2012). Other factors that increased the risk of *Salmonella* infection in homes included storing chicken at higher than optimal temperatures, and the authors noted that many homes sampled in Dakar did not have a refrigerator (Pouillot et al., 2012).

In Nigeria, plastic egg crates were found to have higher risk of presence of *Salmonella* infection than paper egg crates because the plastic crates tend to stay longer on the farms and therefore carry an increased probability of being infected with *Salmonella* (Fagbamila et al., 2018). These authors noted that consumption of contaminated or undercooked eggs and other poultry products was associated with the risk of *Salmonella* infection in humans in Europe and other parts of Africa. (Fagbamila et al., 2018).

Consumption of unripened soft cheese without further lethal heat treatment is a probable risk factor for invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infection. The presence of the multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovars Muenster and Legon were identified in 11.8% and 5.9%, respectively, of unfermented cheese samples purchased from traditional milk markets in Ghana (Parry-Hanson Kunadu et al., 2018).

The general public is at risk for *Salmonella* infection, but poultry, cattle, and swine farmers, as well as abattoir workers and meat sellers, are more vulnerable due to cross-contamination that may occur in their everyday interaction with infected meat and meat products. The process of removing the gastrointestinal tract of food animals is one of the most important sources of carcass and organ contamination at abattoirs (Kagambèga et al., 2013).

Behaviors and practices that increase the risk of Salmonella infection

Salmonella is mainly disseminated by trade of animals and uncooked animal food products (Kagambèga et al., 2013). In Burkina Faso, researchers reported that the hygienic practices of meat sellers did not meet optimum standards for the handling of meat and meat products and encouraged the contamination of meat with *Salmonella* (Kagambèga et al., 2011). As described by Kagambèga et al., meat was transported from slaughterhouses to local markets partially wrapped or not wrapped at all on

bicycles or motorcycles and in cars without refrigeration. Furthermore, meat sellers carried the carcasses on their shoulders and meat was sold off their tables in ambient temperatures. The sellers hardly ever washed their hands, never wore protective clothing, and did not use adequate amounts of water to clean their tables or cutting tools (Kagambèga et al., 2011).

Recommendations

Farming practices that may reduce the risk of *Salmonella* infection and its transmission include the cleaning and disinfection of poultry houses, provision of farm worker access to toilets, farm work clothing, and handwashing facilities (Fagbamila et al., 2018). More hygienic animal slaughtering practices and food preparation are also recommended to reduce the burden of salmonellosis (Pouillot et al., 2012).

Pouillot et al. (2012) suggested that the most important mitigation strategies at home were those for preventing cross-contamination such as thoroughly cleaning the cutting board, knife, and dish after manipulating uncooked chicken rather than rinsing or lightly washing used equipment, and preventing the contamination of dish towels, faucets, and other kitchen equipment.

The study among meat sellers in Burkina Faso demonstrated that there is a need to increase awareness of environmental and personal hygiene issues among meat vendors (Kagambèga et al., 2011).

Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers

Ebola Virus Disease

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Ebola Virus Disease	Peer- reviewed articles (26) (Includes 1 multi- country source covering 2 countries)	Sierra Leone (8) Liberia (2) Nigeria (9) Guinea (1) Ghana (6) Benin (1)	General public Hunters Bushmeat handlers Students	Handling and butchering infected animals Consumption of bush meat Direct or indirect contact with bats	Health promotion engaging influential community members	Blackmail/threat surrounding hunting laws Belief that there was no threat because hunting/eating wild animals had been done for many years
			Health care workers	Contact with infectious persons/corpses		

Table 7. Summary of results, Ebola virus disease

Ebola virus disease (EVD) is a severe and often fatal illness. The largest Ebola virus epidemic took place from 2014 to 2016 in West Africa and resulted in over 28,600 cases of EVD and 11,300 deaths in Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone (Ordaz-Neameth et al., 2017). While the origin of the virus is unknown, evidence suggests that fruit bats, apes, and chimpanzees are most likely the host of the Ebola virus (Adongo et al., 2016). This zoonotic disease is transmitted to humans through contact with blood and other bodily fluids of infected wildlife such as fruit bats, forest antelope, and non-human primates (Ordaz-Neameth, 2017). EVD Infections also can be transmitted between humans through direct contact with broken skin, mucous membranes, or other bodily fluids or secretions (Adongo PB, 2016).

Risk factors

Although the index case of EVD during the 2014 outbreak was due to contact with bats, human-tohuman transmission of EVD was the most critical driver of the two-year long epidemic in Sierra Leone (Wilkinson & Leach, 2015). The primary cultural and behavioral practices that drive the human-tohuman transmission of EVD include the washing and transporting of dead bodies for burials and close human contact with sick individuals when their relatives provide them care (Richards et al., 2015). Based on evidence from the EVD epidemic, women were most at risk for EVD because of their role caring for the sick within the family. Children had the lowest risk for EVD because of their lower exposure to sick family members compared to adults, as they are often deliberately kept away from the sick and also not involved in burial practices (Bower et al., 2016). Risk behaviors associated with animal-to-human transmission of EVD include contact with bodily fluids of bats, fresh bush meat, and consumption of fruits half eaten by fruit bats, the natural reservoirs of the EVD.

Risk factors for EVD among humans include handling or butchering infected animals such as bats, antelopes, and non-human primates, as well as consumption of bushmeat (Bonwitt et al., 2018; Ordaz-Neameth, 2017). Gbogbo (2017) cites direct contact with bats, consumption of fruit near bat colonies and use of rainwater near such colonies as risk factors. Many cases of EVD resulted from direct contact with infected persons and from cultural practices associated with the dead during homecare, health care, and traditional burial preparations, including through contact with the blood of deceased persons (Irwin, 2017). There is limited information regarding sexual risk behavior and EVD (Abad, 2017). There are currently no approved antiviral drugs or vaccines for EVD, although there are various experimental treatments and vaccines currently being trialed for safety and effectiveness (Iliyasu, 2015).

A survey conducted during the post-Ebola outbreak period assessed public health implications of human-bat interactions by exploring the reasons for contact between humans and bats, as well as reported actions taken upon experiencing bat bites or scratches, among 788 participants from 5 communities in Ghana. Participants reported that bats were valued most as sources of food (67%) and that bat consumption was the main reason for human-bat contact. Over 40% of all respondents ate bat meat. The main methods for preparing bat meat were roasting (69%), boiling (68%) and frying (10%). These practices have not changed since the Ebola outbreak. More than 60% of respondents either killed bats themselves or obtained them from bat hunters. Markets and food vendors were also important sources of bat meat (22%). Respondents encountered bats at home (43%), on their farms (32%), and in sacred groves (6%); those who had trees with bat roosts close to their homes often had bats flying into their homes. A small number of participants (4%) had been bitten by a bat and/or scratched by a bat (6%); however, 21% had come into direct contact with bat blood. The general perception was that bat meat was healthy and less than 1% reported feeling unwell after eating it. Barriers to seeking care in hospitals included high cost of consultation fees, distance to clinics and hospitals, as well as time spent in seeking hospital treatment. The study also assessed changes in participants' perceptions of bats post-EVD. 73% of participants believed that bats carry diseases, with EVD mentioned primarily. Almost all participants (97%) had heard of EVD, which they believed was transmitted through bats, monkeys, and the greater cane rat. Nearly half (46%) stated that they had not changed the way they interacted with bats over the last 2 years. The study found that knowledge did not influence participants' behavior towards bats: those who believed that bats could carry disease pathogens were found to be 2.1 times more likely to come into direct contact with bats, through hunting or bat meat consumption. Respondents who had prior knowledge of bats as possible carriers of disease pathogens were still found to be 3.2 times more likely to eat bat meat. Reasons provided were that there were no reported cases of EVD in Ghana and that cooking bat meat properly "killed" the virus or disease pathogens in bat meat. Sources of information on bats and zoonotic diseases included schools, television, family members and friends, however radio (88%) was the main source of information. Most participants (87%) indicated they were satisfied with the content of information on bats and diseases, while 13% were dissatisfied

because they felt that the choice of words was inappropriate, instilling fear of bats rather than teaching how to avoid EVD (Lawson et al., 2019).

Knowledge, attitudes, and practices

Several studies explored knowledge, attitudes, and practices of EVD risk behaviors among priority populations in Sierra Leone, Ghana, Nigeria, Guinea, and Benin.

In 2015, a study of 466 participants from the Western Area Rural District in Sierra Leone found that only a small number of respondents (10%) believed they were at risk of Ebola due to lack of adherence to medical rules, and 98% believed the primary mode of transmission of the virus was through participation in a traditional funeral. Knowledge of other modes of transmission included patient semen (89%) and breast milk (89%). Nearly all respondents (98-99%) reported that since learning about Ebola, they had avoided certain risk behaviors, such as contact with blood or body fluids, and attending traditional burials (Jiang, 2016).

A study of EVD public health messages in Sierra Leone found that messages focused predominantly on the risk from contact with wild animals through consumption or hunting of their meat, and through the consumption of fruits that animals had contact with or had eaten (Bonwitt et al., 2018). In this study, no messages regarding warnings about contact with wild animals that were sick or dead were reported. All 46 study respondents were aware of wild mammals as a mode of transmission for EVD, however, they lacked knowledge and clarity about the specific species of animals that could transmit EVD; such responses ranged from bats to non-human primates, brush-tailed porcupines, and duiker antelopes. Respondents did not believe that consumption of wild meat could cause a health risk, and many stated that wild animals had been hunted and eaten for many years without causing an epidemic in humans. Those respondents who believed that EVD and consumption of wild meat were linked practiced various strategies to mitigate their perceived risk of infection: some only avoided the animals they thought were a risk for EVD, while others reported that they used cooking methods (frying, boiling) and certain ingredients (spices, palm oil) as a way to "deactivate" any EVD that may have been present in the wild meat.

Another study in Sierra Leone by Vygen, et al. from May 2014 to February 2015 described healthseeking behavior before death or during a recent illness before, as well as during, the recent Ebola outbreak. A household survey of 4,943 individuals reported a decrease in use of health facilities for care. The study showed that 58.1% of individuals who had experienced illness during the Ebola epidemic had first sought care at a health facility, which was a decrease from 85.9% before the Ebola epidemic (Vygen, 2016).

Finally, a study conducted by Carter et al. (2017) of 350 individuals and 108 community health volunteers (CHVs) reported several reasons for reluctance to seek treatment for EVD. Seventy-seven percent of community members reported that fear, most commonly fear of ambulances and/or not knowing what takes place inside treatment centers, made them reluctant to seek such treatment. Respondents also feared what would happen with their body after death and, if they were far from home when they died, whether important cultural burial practices would be respected. Finally, distance to treatment centers also increased the barriers for community members to seek treatment for EVD. While there had been some changes that were acknowledged and reported by CHVs and community members, not all attitudes had changed. For their part, CHVs stated that they felt sidelined by "outsiders" when government support arrived despite their early efforts to screen new entrants to the community for EVD.

Encouragingly, awareness of EVD was associated with changes in people's behaviors; nearly all respondents (98–99%) reported that since learning about EVD, they had changed certain risk behaviors, such as avoiding contact with blood and body fluids, and not participating in rituals associated with traditional burials (Jiang, 2016). However, myths and misconceptions related to prevention programs and the response effort also influenced care-seeking behaviors during the Ebola epidemic. During an EVD vaccine trial, participants described rumors that the vaccine was a form of "slow poison" that could give recipients Ebola. Rumors related to blood, discomfort of giving blood, and the fear that blood leaves one permanently weak were also reported by both participants and non-participants in the vaccine trial (Tengbeh, 2018).

Bonwitt et al. (2018) conducted a study on the consequences of a ban on hunting and eating wild meat that was enacted during the height of the EVD outbreak. This study highlighted several important lessons for public health emergency response and preparedness programs. It noted that messages communicated following the ban on hunting of wild meat focused predominantly on risk incurred from contact with wild animals through consumption or hunting of their meat, or consumption of fruits that wild animals had touched or partially eaten. The focus on consumption of wild meat contradicted the experiences of the majority of the public who had consumed wild meat without any incident. Moreover, there were no warnings reported against contact with wild animals that were sick or dead. Consequently, there was a proliferation of informal trade networks for bush meat, and this hampered the prevention response strategies for zoonoses. Further, the criminalization of consumption of bush meat fueled fears and rumors within communities with EVD outbreaks, in turn entrenching distrust and exacerbating pre-existing tensions with government and health authorities. Bonwitt et al. (2018) suggested that health communication messaging regarding the risks surrounding consumption of bush meat should fully take into account the significance of hunting to local livelihoods, and include communicative engagement approaches that are designed, validated, and continually refined for emergency situations.

In Nigeria, a study conducted by Iliyasu et al. (2015) with 880 participants among the general population and health care workers in the states of Kano, Bayelsa, and Cross River found several gaps in knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding EVD. Only 50% correctly defined EVD as an infection caused by a virus; however, the majority of respondents correctly associated EVD with bats, monkeys, and wild animals. Most participants were aware of the ways the virus is transmitted such as through bodily secretions (Kano: 91%, Bayelsa: 99%, Cross River: 100%) and blood (Kano: 90%, Bayelsa: 99%, Cross River: 91%); however, there were some respondents who believed that EVD is transmitted by breathing infected air (Kano: 42%, Bayelsa: 30%, Cross River: 12%). The Iliyasu et al. study showed that the predictors of "good knowledge" of EVD included: being a health care worker, having a moderate to high fear of EVD, and a willingness to modify old habits.

Kaoje, et al. (2016) explored awareness, knowledge, and misconceptions of EVD among 433 rural residents in Nigeria. The authors strongly suggest that efforts to clarify myths and misconceptions about EVD should be focused on community-level interventions such as community dialogues, mass media campaigns involving community and religious leaders, and development of messages based on the sociocultural context of the target audience. The study suggests that a surveillance system to monitor rumors and misconceptions could guide message development to address common beliefs that may deter preventive efforts for EVD in communities. Another study from Nigeria (Gidado et al., 2015) found that 33% of the 5,333 respondents did not know the cause of EVD, while respectively, 17%, 11% and 6% knew that infection from non-human primates, bushmeat, and bats causes EVD. Sixty-six percent knew that regular hand washing with soap and water was an effective way to prevent the spread of EVD, and 46% knew to avoid contact with an individual with suspected or confirmed EVD. Sixteen percent avoided eating bushmeat and only 5% knew not to participate in the burial rituals of someone who had died from EVD.

A study in Nigeria addressed the gap in knowledge, attitudes, and practices of college students related to health communication messages on EVD delivered through public service announcements. A total of 77.3% of college students surveyed agreed that survival is very unlikely after contracting EVD. Eighty-six percent thought that EVD could be contracted through bodily secretions of a sick person, and 61% thought it could be contracted through close contact with an infected person. Eighty-two percent agreed that regular handwashing and hand sanitizers could prevent the transmission of Ebola. The study also identified areas that could benefit from more information about EVD. Specifically, there were still many misconceptions about the causes and means of transmission of EVD: while nearly 78% were aware that consumption of wildlife is a source of EVD, 60% thought that Ebola was an airborne disease. Nearly 77% of the students agreed that Ebola had been completely eradicated in Nigeria (Ajilorea, Atakiti, & Onyenankeya, 2017).

A study by Olowookere et al. (2015) of health workers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward EVD in Nigeria found that over half of the respondents (58%) had poor knowledge of EVD. Ninety-three percent of participants knew that EVD was caused by a deadly virus, and a majority knew that EVD could be transmitted from person-to-person (88%) and from animal to person (86%). However, while the majority of participants knew that EVD could be transmitted through bodily fluids, less than half (46%) knew that the virus penetrates broken skin. While most respondents were aware of the EVD epidemic in West Africa (85%), some still were not aware of it. At the time the study was conducted, no EVD cases had been reported in Nigeria and most respondents perceived EVD as something that was "too far away" to be very relevant.

In Guinea, a study by Irwin et al. (2017) of 5,752 participants found that the vast majority (91.4%) of participants had heard of EVD; however, only 20% cited a virus as the cause of EVD. Fifty-five percent believed that wild animals caused EVD, 55% cited wild game or contaminated fruit, 66% cited contact with ill persons or their bodily fluids, and only 4% cited funeral participation involving contact or washing of a dead corpse as risk factors for EVD. Nearly all participants (95%) reported they took actions such as handwashing or avoiding ill people in order to avoid EVD. Of the participants who rated their risk of acquiring EVD, 82.7% felt that they had no or low risk of contracting the disease. The most frequently cited source of Ebola-related information during the epidemic was mass media (84%) such as radio, television, newspaper, or internet.

In Ghana, a study by Adongo et al. (2016a) of 235 respondents found that while ceremonies and rituals varied between ethnic cultures and geographic areas across the country, funeral practices were a very important cultural tradition for all the ethnic groups. However, high-risk traditional funeral practices could result in health workers and family members having a greater risk of infection of EVD through direct contact with infectious fluids from dead bodies. In many communities, a person who died a natural death was bathed at home by family members as a sign of honor and respect for the dead. The study found that bathing was often done without any type of protection and attempts to protect oneself through the use of skin barriers was considered to be disrespectful and dishonorable. An exception to this occurred when the deceased was sent to a funeral home for burial preparation. Burial preparation was perceived by the EVD response team members to be a problematic cultural practice in Ghana. Another common burial practice in Ghana is for grieving family and friends to walk in a line past the deceased body. During this process, mourners often attempt to show their grief by lying on the dead body or wiping away "tears" from the eyes of the dead body. Among communities in southern Ghana, a widow reportedly is made to drink the water used to rinse her dead husband's body to demonstrate that she was not responsible for her husband's demise (Adongo et al., 2016). Muslims in Ghana generally believed that the only way to change high-risk funeral and burial practices was through their religious leaders who understand the teachings of the Quran and the religious doctrine (Adongo et al., 2016).

In a study of 418 health workers in Benin, Aissi (2015) found that 91% knew the early signs of EVD and 60% followed the news concerning Ebola in Africa. More than a quarter of participants (28%) did not

know the difference between EVD and Lassa fever. About two-thirds of participants (67%) knew modes of transmission for EVD, however 87% were convinced that there was no cure or treatment for EVD, including traditional African medicine.

In Nigeria, a cross-sectional study was conducted among 178 nursing students to determine their knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to EVD. The most common source of information regarding EVD were lecturers (85%), followed by television (76%). More than half (68%) identified monkeys as the natural host of EVD, followed by fruit bats (40%), gorillas (36%), chimpanzee (28%), and swine (12%). Regarding the mode of transmission, 72% indicated direct contact with blood and body fluids/secretions of infected persons, while others stated preparing and eating infected bush-meat (59%), sexual intercourse (29%), and shaking hands or studying in the same room as someone who has not shown symptoms of EVD (8%). The majority of the participants (92%) indicated that internal and external bleeding are symptoms of EVD, as well as other symptoms such as headache (72%), fever (68%), joint and muscle pains (66%), and nausea and vomiting (50%). When asked about treatment of EVD, 72% indicated there was no known cure yet, 16% reported it could be treated with antibiotics, while 12% stated it could only be treated with a spiritual cure. Most participants indicated patients should be treated in isolation centers, as well as regular hand washing and avoiding contact with blood and body fluids to prevent EVD (80%). The majority of participants (88%) responded that the EVD outbreak had generated fear in them and 27% said that the fear of EVD had dampened their interest in nursing and that they were no longer comfortable using public transport (30%). Over 72% responded that they would encourage a roommate suspected of EVD to go to the hospital, while 24% stated they would pack and never return, even if the roommate was cured. All of the participants reported they would continue to be friends with someone who had been certified cured of EVD, however 12% reported they would not take part as the nurse in a surgical operation of a patient who had been certified cured of EVD. All respondents indicated that the EVD outbreak caused them to increase their handwashing frequency, and that they used personal protective equipment more than before and carried personal hand sanitizer. Only 38% of participants had attended a workshop or training in EVD prevention (Etokidem et al., 2018).

Another study in southern Nigeria evaluated bushmeat dealers' knowledge and attitudes about zoonotic infections and the risk of transmission to humans. Very few participants were aware of the existence of zoonotic infections that could be transmitted by handling, processing or eating bushmeat. Knowledge of causes of zoonotic infections was significantly higher among traders (36%) compared to the hunters (12%). Approximately half of the hunters (74%) and traders (52%) were not aware how to reduce the likelihood of contracting work-related infections. Hunters (75%) were significantly more likely to think that there is no existence of zoonosis in wild animals in Nigeria, compared to traders (43%). The majority of respondents had negative attitudes towards zoonotic infections; however, 53% of hunters and 29% of traders had no concern of acquiring an infection from bushmeat. The majority of both hunters (85%) and traders (88%) considered wearing protective gear during contact with animals unnecessary. Both hunters (91%) and traders (76%) valued bushmeat more than their health and the majority of traders medical consultations regarding the possibility of a zoonotic disease when sick. The majority of traders

(91%) and hunters (90%) reported that they had never contracted an infection from bushmeat; however, 88% of hunters said that they had been bitten by wildlife used for bushmeat in the past 12 months. Only a few participants were aware of the risk of direct transmission via contact with placenta or skin-to-skin contact with animals when processing or sharing their house with a wild animal. The study authors thought that the establishment of bushmeat information centers in villages or at the ward level could be useful for enhancing knowledge and skills, and improving awareness and efficient control of the spread of zoonotic infections (Ozioko et al., 2018).

Rumors/myths

In Sierra Leone, an anthropological study by Tengbeh et al. (2018) conducted during an Ebola vaccine trial found that a common rumor about EVD was that the vaccine for the disease was a form of "slow poison" that could give participants Ebola or another disease. Both participants of the vaccine trial and non-participants reported rumors related to blood, the discomfort of giving blood, and the fear that giving blood leaves one permanently weak.

In a study in Ghana by Gbogbo & Kyei (2017), 70% of respondents reported that the bat colony in their community had in the past followed a sick chief of one of the ethnic groups who had been admitted to the hospital. According to the myth, when the chief died at the hospital, the bats remained in the community near the hospital. Twenty-six percent of the respondents in the study considered the presence of the bats to be demonic, while 5% linked them to good luck.

Another study in Sierra Leone was conducted to understand perspectives on Ebola control measures among 25 survivors, 24 community members, and 16 health workers recruited from urban and rural locations of Freetown and Tonkolili districts. The data highlighted two main themes: "when Ebola is real" and "collective controls." Within the main theme of "when Ebola is real," three categories emerged: denial, knowledge, and acceptance. In all cases, denial was linked to the absence of knowledge, direct experience, or exposure to Ebola. Until the disease was experienced within a family or close community, it was denied. Participants revealed that poor knowledge, suspicion and fear were the reasons for this denial. Denial was related to perceptions of Ebola as a new disease in Sierra Leone, which, in turn, sparked uncertainty and trust, rumors and stories of patients being taken away and not coming back, and persistent accounts of poor care for Ebola treatment. Notable differences in official information and messaging about Ebola symptoms and treatment also contributed to denial. Participants reported that symptoms were similar to those of other common diseases such as malaria and cholera, which led to confusion and uncertainty. Participants also reported that seeing survivors, understanding that people went and returned from treatment, and hearing reports of good care were key to accepting that Ebola is "real." With regards to the second theme of "collective controls," the study found that engagement and compliance with Ebola control measures was strongly connected to how these measures were valued or experienced. Uptake and acceptance were motivated by respect for rules, as well as credibility and availability of resources in the form of good care and prevention activities. Additionally, quality of human interactions with those implementing control measures were important for positive engagement and, in particular, dignity, respect, and compassion were key

components. The study authors recommended that health promotion for communities at risk should be conducted through people who have had close interaction with or have survived Ebola rather than the mass communication. Mass communication messages were found to be of limited value in this study, but all participant groups reported feeling that individualized health promotion combined with engaging influential community members was more effective (Gray et al., 2018).

Risk perception

In the Alhaji, Yatswako, & Oddoh (2018) study of bushmeat handlers in Nigeria, 68% of the hunters, 61% of the vendors, and 77% of the meat consumers reported that handling the blood or body fluids of infected wildlife was a high-risk behavior for humans. A large proportion of hunters (73%) and consumers of bushmeat (72%) also reported that eating fruits that were half eaten by bats was a high-risk activity for humans; however, 73% of vendors considered this to be a low-risk activity. Handling bushmeat during EVD outbreaks was perceived as high-risk by the majority of hunters (81%), vendors (90%), and bushmeat consumers (76%).

Tenkorang (2018) conducted a cross-sectional survey to study the effect of knowledge and perceptions of risk on Ebola-preventative behaviors in 4 districts of the Greater Accra region of Ghana. The majority of the 800 respondents (78%) indicated that they had acted to avoid Ebola and would accept other ways of funeral/burial that would not involve washing or touching if a family member died of Ebola (83%). About 42% indicated that they perceived themselves to have been at high risk of contracting Ebola during the Ebola outbreak, while 33% perceived themselves to have had no risk. However, when asked about their current risk perceptions, more than half (62%) indicated that they had no risk of contracting Ebola, while a small proportion reported perceiving themselves to be at high risk (9%). Knowledge about Ebola prevention was significantly associated with Ebola-preventive behaviors. Respondents with high knowledge levels were more likely to have acted to avoid Ebola. Additionally, those with high knowledge were significantly more likely to say they would accept other ways of burying a family member who had died of Ebola without touching or washing the body. Those respondents who agreed with or endorsed Ebola myths were more likely to say they would accept burial strategies. Compared to those who felt no current risk, respondents who saw themselves as having a high current risk of Ebola were more likely to accept alternative burial strategies. The study found that communities that expressed worry about a potential Ebola outbreak were significantly more likely to adopt preventative behaviors. The authors recommended that efforts to contain and manage outbreaks should be multilevel interventions and that programs should aim to raise awareness of the potential risks so as to set the stage for the expected behavior change in the event of an outbreak (Tenkorang, 2018).

A study in Nigeria on perceptions about the Ebola outbreak collected data through in-depth interviews and non-participant observations with 25 taxi-drivers and 25 passengers. The study found that awareness of EVD was widespread, and most respondents confirmed hearing about it through radio, television, social media, print media, religious bodies, or word of mouth. Most of the drivers were aware of the possible implication of contracting EVD without necessarily knowing the details pertaining to the signs, symptoms and necessary preventative actions to avoid contact during incubation period. Participants all reported being reached with information about EVD; however, they were all exposed to different versions of the information and some participants appeared to be more knowledgeable about the virus than others. Participants were aware of modes of transmission for EVD and reported that it could take place via human-to-human or animal-to-human means or it could be transmitted through air. Specific examples included body contact, consumption of bush-meat, direct contact with contaminated clothes, and contact with infected animals and seafood. Participants were not aware that killing bushmeat could expose them to EVD, and some were skeptical about contracting EVD via consumption of certain bushmeat. While participants were aware that handwashing was an important preventative strategy, this did not always translate to practice. General hygiene practices were also reported as preventative practices. Due to the fear that Ebola kills faster than malaria, many participants reported prayer as a preventative practice, and believed that "only God's hands could end Ebola in Nigeria." Respondents reported that cultural practices related to greetings, the way businesses are run, market structure, and sitting arrangements in public businesses, make it difficult to avoid body contact as a method of control. Participants also believed that EVD cannot spread without direct contact with body fluids such as sweat or blood. A variety of factors were reported by participants as preventing the adoption of hand sanitizer for disease prevention, including inadequate knowledge of its potency, its cost, and the prevalence of fake products. The study authors recommended that understanding people's perceptions of handwashing practices, hugging, and butchering of bushmeat should be given priority in designing Ebola prevention and control programs in Nigeria, as well as policy response towards disease containment to reinforce global efforts (Salami et al., 2019).

Barriers

In Sierra Leone, respondents in a study of EVD public health messages (Bonwitt et al., 2018) stated that in some places, villagers pressured chiefs not to enforce hunting laws imposed by the government, and no one would know who hunted or consumed wild animals. Communal hunting methods ceased or continued with precautions. The study recorded several incidents where people reported other villagers to the police for consuming wild meat, in order to exact revenge over previous unrelated issues and thereby "destroy enemies." It also found that some individuals coerced hunters and trappers to share a portion of their kill by threatening to report them and their hunting activities to authorities.

Recommendations

Carter et al. (2017) reported recommendations from household members and CHVs, some of which included: being informed about EVD and feeling supported by their communities; understanding what happens at all stages of the EVD treatment process; identifying a community liaison to support families and gather information on EVD cases in the community; increasing availability and accessibility of treatment centers; and using Ebola patients' survival and negative testing stories to build hope and promote early treatment seeking behaviors.

A study (Schwerdtle, De Clerck, & Plummer, 2017) in Liberia and Sierra Leone of Ebola survivors reported four key themes among respondents. Respondents expressed degrees of mistrust in receiving treatment for EVD and suspicion surrounding EVD-related health messages, which in some scenarios

prevented people from following advice regarding disinfection practices and may have exacerbated the spread of Ebola. Respondents also described messages with risk-related information that increased their levels of fear and anxiety. Messages conflicted with their culture and way of life and overemphasized what not to do. Another common theme that Schwerdtle et al. reported was how individuals and communities found it difficult to believe messages about a highly-fatal, infectious disease that had never before been experienced in the region. Although messages that were focused on risk-related information were not perceived as beneficial by participants, they expressed that messages containing stories of recovery, treatment, and care inspired hope of survival. Ebola-related health messages were criticized for: being overly-factual, being delivered in a lecturing tone, lacking clear explanations, and containing no practical information regarding how to manage Ebola in households and in the community. Schwerdtle et al. reported that developing health messages that follow a strengths-based approach to health promotion, emphasize people's strengths and self-determination, and view communities as resilient and resourceful may improve the effectiveness of Ebola health communication campaigns as well as community engagement.

A study in Ghana found that in addition to individual-level predictors, certain community-level factors seemed to explain the differences in Ebola-related stigma across communities (Tenkorang, 2017). The results showed that communities with a high knowledge of Ebola were significantly less likely to endorse Ebola-related stigma. However, communities that were worried about providing assistance and care in the event of an outbreak were more likely to endorse stigma. Tenkorang posits that these results suggest the need for multilevel interventions to equip individuals and communities with accurate information about Ebola, while addressing myths and fear.

Lassa Fever

Lassa FeverPeer- reviewed (13)Sierra Leone (4)General public, particular rural/poor communitiesTraditional burial practices that to blood or contaminated waterHealth communication misconceptionsLack of knowledg and misconceptionsLassa FeverPeer- reviewed (4)Sierra Leone particular rural/poor communitiesTraditional burial practices that to blood or contaminated waterHealth communication misconceptionsLack of knowledg and misconceptions	DISEASE	BARRIERS
Mali (1)Handlers and eaters of rat meatHandling/ consuming rat meatcomplimentary actions such as community storage structures and dissemination of health educationRat meat is easily accessibleMali (1)University communityEating food that has been dried on the floor (contaminated)structures and dissemination of health educationRat meat is easily accessibleLack of village supportLack of information due limited resources (i.e. power supple)	Lassa Fever	Lack of knowledge and misconceptions Lack of education/ awareness in local languages Rat meat is easily accessible Lack of village support Lack of information due to limited resources (i.e. power supply)

Table 8. Summary of results, Lassa fever

Lassa fever is a hemorrhagic viral fever with a rodent host, *Mastomys natalensis*, and is endemic in parts of West Africa. There have been repeated outbreaks of Lassa fever in Sierra Leone, Nigeria, and Liberia (Bonwitt J., 2016). Cases of infection were detected in Bamako between 2016 and 2017, indicating that there is a broader distribution of Lassa fever in Mali than previously know (Baumann et al., 2019). Primary transmission of Lassa fever to humans occurs through direct or indirect contact with rodent body fluids such as urine, feces, saliva, or blood. Secondary human-to-human transmission occurs through contact with bodily fluids, or objects in the household or in health care facilities that have been contaminated (Dzingirai et al., 2017). Human-to-human transmission can also take place through aerosol secretions in the form of sneezing, sputum, stool, urine, blood, and seminal fluid (Inegbenebor, 2010). There is no vaccine and prevention is recommended through improved hygiene practices, including food storage, rodent proofing, and infection control practices (Bonwitt J., 2016).

Fichet-Calvet & Rogers (2009) reported that Lassa fever virus (LFV) is endemic in the Mano River region of Sierra Leone and the *Mastomys natalensis* rodent, host of the LFV, lives in houses and surrounding fields and forests of this area. The authors found that the humidity, rainfall, and associated movements of the rodent population encourage the stability and transmission of LFV among infected rodents in Sierra Leone. For instance, the behavioral patterns of the rodents to aggregate in houses during the dry season and disperse into the surrounding fields in the rainy season to forage for food in cultivated areas before harvesting time, are noted to influence the seasonal variability of the LFV infection.

Knowledge, attitudes, and practices

A mixed-methods study conducted in Sierra Leone by Bonwitt et al. (2016) found that the consumption of rodents is widespread with various reasons, such as taste preference, food security, and opportunistic behaviors. The study found that most respondents had heard of Lassa fever and were aware that it is a serious and fatal disease. They were familiar with the symptoms and special burial practices required. Less than half (38%) of the respondents associated Lassa fever with animals, and no one was aware of the exact carrier of Lassa fever, but frequently mentioned that shrews could transmit Lassa fever. Shrews are often perceived as "different from other rats" due to their behavior, diet, and appearance. Both qualitative and quantitative surveys showed that rat hunting is very common. The quantitative Bonwitt et al. survey indicated that more than two-thirds (69%) of rat hunters handled live rats during their hunting experiences, and around one-third reported having been in contact with urine (32.2%) or having been bitten (28%).

Bonwitt et al. (2016) also reported that during direct observations of preparing rats for consumption, no basic hygiene measures, such as handwashing, were observed. Rats are singed over fire to remove the hair and sometimes butchered. The kidneys, liver, and heart are often kept, and the rats are then smoked, grilled, and/or stewed. The rat meat is eaten alone or added to dishes. The reason for consuming rat meat was due to the belief that it provides supplementary value as a "very important source of protein." Respondents stated that it is necessary for maintaining a balanced diet; however, most respondents also said that if rat meat were not available anymore, they would not go hungry. It was considered wasteful to throw away bush rats that were killed for pest control, and rat meat was free and easy and fast to catch in comparison to other sources of protein. Respondents expressed

discomfort at discussing the topic of rat consumption and explained that they were afraid to acknowledge the practice because they had been advised against rat consumption through messages from health care workers and on the radio.

Dzingirai et al. (2017) conducted a study of Lassa fever in rural and peri-urban communities in the forest zone of Eastern Region in Sierra Leone. This region has the highest reported incidence of Lassa fever in the world. While there was knowledge that rodents cause Lassa fever, there was common misconception about which rodent carried the virus. Tuile, a type of shrew, is avoided by the population and thought to be the Lassa rat, but *Mastomys natalensis*, the rodent that actually carries the Lassa fever is not avoided. There is no perceived risk associated with *Mastomys natalensis*, and no special caution aside from normal pest control. The study found that rodents are hunted by young boys in rural areas and are occasionally cooked as part of sacrificial ceremonies. Eating rodents is associated with poverty and is stigmatized. Exposure to Lassa fever is also related to farming patterns because *M. natalensis* is a burrowing rodent and prefers mounds constructed in fields. Dzingirai et al. found that as a result, those working in mounded fields and gardens (primarily women) are most likely to be exposed to Lassa fever.

Another study (Tobin, Asogun, Happi, Ogbaini, & Gunther, 2014) assessed knowledge and practices around Lassa fever in endemic communities of Edo State, Nigeria. More than three-quarters (76.6%) of respondents had poor knowledge of Lassa fever, and 45.8% had high risk of rodent contact. Overall, 33.7% were at risk of Lassa fever due to poor hygiene practices.

Asogun et al. (2010) published a study about knowledge and practices around Lassa fever in Nigeria that revealed that 36% of the 147 study participants had heard of Lassa fever, half of whom identified rats as the main source of infection. However, many respondents still engaged in practices that favor the transmission of Lassa fever. For example, 72% did not attempt to control rats in their homes and 43% encouraged bush-burning, during which fleeing rats are caught for food.

To assess public awareness of Lassa fever, Oladeinde, Omoregie, & Odia (2015) completed a study in three rural communities in Edo State, Nigeria and found a very low level of correct knowledge and many misconceptions among the surveyed population. Only 7.4% of participants reported having heard of Lassa fever. Among those who had heard of Lassa fever, the following modes of transmission of Lassa fever were mentioned: mosquito bites (32.15%), dog bites (25%), and eating rat-contaminated food (7%). Respondents reported that Lassa fever could be prevented by sleeping under bed nets (17.8%) or using herbal remedies (17.8%). Among those aware of Lassa fever, more than half were not aware of any mode of transmission (53.4%), as well as any way to prevent the virus (57.1%).

Inegbenebor, Ikosum, and Inegbenebor (2010) conducted a prospective study to compare case fatality rates of Lassa fever to determine community habits in Edo Central District of Nigeria. Several practices that may have caused the Lassa fever in the study were identified: consumption of rat meat as a source of protein, food contaminated by rat feces and urine, exposure to infected blood during traditional

autopsies, ingestion of contaminated water during traditional burial practices, or contamination of food during corrupt food production practices.

A cross-sectional study by Olowookere, et al. (2017) in Ile-Ife, Nigeria on knowledge, attitudes, and practices around Lassa fever control and prevention showed that 41% of respondents had not heard of Lassa fever, and more than half (72.7%) were not aware that it was caused by a virus. Sixty-four percent thought that Lassa fever cannot be prevented, and nearly one-third (29.8%) responded that Lassa fever is not transmissible. Most respondents (91%) were aware that rats transmit Lassa fever, however 12% responded that humans can transmit it, and 5.4% responded that mosquitoes can transmit it. Only 28% of respondents agreed that consumption of bush rats can cause Lassa fever, and 27.5% agreed that a suspected case of Lassa fever should be reported to a nearby health center. More than half (56.5%) reported that they eat rat meat and 13.5% reported that they eat food that had been dried on a bare floor.

In 2012, Awosanya, et al. (2018) conducted a cross-sectional survey among residents from a community affected with Lassa fever in Ibadan, Nigeria, as well as a university community in Ibadan with no history of Lassa fever outbreak. The survey was used to obtain data on demography, awareness and knowledge of Lassa fever. The mean age of respondents was 29.9 years old, and 60% were female. The overall awareness level of Lassa fever was 75% and about 65% of respondents from the affected local community and 85% of respondents from the unaffected university community were aware of Lasa fever; this difference was statistically significant. Many of participants (69%) had good knowledge of the reservoir as rat; this was significantly higher among the university community (80%) than the affected community (57%). About one-third of all respondents had a good knowledge of preventative measures, however it was again significantly higher in the university community (42%) compared to the affected community (19%). Of those participants who were aware of Lassa fever, the most reported source of information was television and radio (60%). The study authors noted that the higher level of education of respondents from the university community or radio in the affected community due to erratic power supply, which is not a challenge in the university community.

Recommendations

A study by Kelly (2013) shows that in Kenema District of post-war Sierra Leone, interventions to control Lassa fever and other rodent-borne diseases typically included education and awareness-raising campaigns to improve "village hygiene." This involved elimination of unprotected garbage and food storage, clearing of vegetation surrounding houses, and plugging holes that could allow rodent entry. The considerable efforts to counter Lassa fever in Kenema District also included enhancing laboratory diagnostic capacity and creating outreach teams and zoology teams that perform follow-up investigations and work once a case of Lassa fever has been confirmed. Despite these efforts, reported cases of Lassa fever have continued to increase in Sierra Leone, pointing to the biggest underlying risk factor of Lassa fever: poor quality housing. Kelly concluded that any long-term intervention to address Lassa fever in Kenema District should address poor quality housing, which is at the root of the increasing cases.

A study on knowledge and practices of Lassa fever in Nigeria by Asogun et al. (2010) conducted in 2010 recommended that government and NGO organizations in Nigeria should intensify efforts to correctly educate the population through campaigns, in particular through mass media and religious groups, on the mode of transmission and signs and symptoms of the disease. Authors of another study conducted in Nigeria to assess public awareness of Lassa fever in rural communities recommended an urgent need to increase intervention efforts by educating rural community members on causes, mode of transmission and prevention of Lassa fever, with the emphasis of using local dialects to facilitate understanding (Oladeinde et al., 2015). Authors of a cross-sectional study in Ile-Ife, Nigeria suggested that community-based education will increase community knowledge, especially among disadvantaged populations (Olowookere et al., 2017). Additionally, Olowookere et al. recommended that environmental sanitation laws be strengthened along with government and NGO approaches to control and prevent the spread of Lassa fever.

Because Lassa fever is transmitted to humans through contamination by rodent hosts or human-tohuman modes, Tambo et al. (2018) suggest a "One Health" animal-human-environment approach to address Lassa fever in Nigeria. The authors propose that since there is no preventive medication or vaccine against Lassa fever, increased community awareness and health education on avoiding contact with rodent hosts, prevention of food contamination, and food safety practices are necessary. Improved education and access to sexual and reproductive preventive measures is also important as there have been cases of Lassa virus transmitted through sex.

An intervention to implement chemical rodent treatment in 6 regions of Faranah, Guinea took place and was evaluated through focus group discussions, in-depth interviews, informal discussions and participant observations to collect local perceptions regarding cohabitation with rodents and the intervention. Participants responded that rodents were considered a nuisance because of their effects on food stock and personal properties, and that it was impossible to kill "all" rodents". Preventative measures taken against rodents are very limited, and used in kitchens, stores and rooms before crop harvesting, or when mice cause damage to personal belongings. Participants in the three intervention villages reported that the product used was effective in reducing the number of rodents based on their visual perceptions of dead rodents, decrease in rodent noise and nuisance, and by the smell of decaying mice. After the 4th treatment of the intervention, participants noted that the treatment was only effective if the entire village participated, noting that rodents will come into your home from the neighbors' homes. Participants also suggested that treatment was not sufficient on its own, and other complementary actions such as community storage structures, or dissemination of hygiene practices were necessary. Towards the end of the intervention participants wanted to continue the rodent control, but required advice on how and when to use the poison, safely collect the rodent corpses, clean the surrounding areas, and organize the rodenticide and rodent proof containers (Saez et al., 2018).

Marburg Virus

Marburg virus (MARV) is a viral hemorrhagic fever caused by the *Marburg marburgvirus* species. A study by Changula, Kajihara, Mweene, & Takada (2014) provides the following information on MARV. It was found that Egyptian fruit bats are the most likely natural reservoir host for Marburg virus and outbreaks of MARV have been associated with entering working or decommissioned mines or caves where the bats can be found. The MARV infections in Egyptian fruit bats have been found seasonally, with bi-annual peaks that correspond to MARV infections identified in humans.

Arboviruses

Arboviruses are viruses that are transmitted by arthropod vectors like mosquitoes, ticks, and sandflies. Transmission to humans occurs when the vector feeds on the blood of humans. Person-to-person transmission, through blood or other bodily fluids, is less common, but possible in some cases. Arboviruses include African swine fever, dengue, Japanese encephalitis, Rift Valley fever, tick-borne encephalitis, West Nile encephalitis, yellow fever, Zika, and chikungunya. However, there is little to no literature on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding the majority of these arboviruses in West Africa. The only arboviruses for which the literature review uncovered relevant literature are dengue, yellow fever, Rift Valley fever, and Zika. One study argued that the reason dengue has not received the attention it deserves is because it is often misdiagnosed as malaria (Stoler, Dashti, Anto, Fobil, & Awandare, 2014).

Dengue

Table 9. Summary of results, dengue

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Dengue (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (2)	Burkina Faso (2)	General public Community leaders Health professionals		Dengue vector control with trained community leaders Educational activities	

Dengue in humans is caused by transmission from infected female mosquitos, primarily the Aedes aegypti mosquitos. Despite an increasing incidence of dengue outbreaks across the world and in all regions in Africa, dengue fever remains poorly recognized and underreported. Common symptoms with malaria and other febrile illnesses make it difficult to diagnose and the probability of health professionals not recognizing dengue is high (Ouédraogo et al., 2018). In Burkina Faso, Ouédraogo, et al. (2018) evaluated the effectiveness of a community-based intervention for dengue vector control. The intervention occurred during the peak dengue transmission period in June through early October 2016. The intervention approach consisted of pesticide-free dengue vector control through a participatory process with community leaders, who received training on dengue prevention. Key messages in the intervention focused on modes of dengue transmission, dengue symptoms, differences between dengue and malaria, timely use of health services for dengue, dengue prevention, and how to identify and control mosquito breeding sites. These community members then organized community communication and educational activities, including door-to-door visits and self-awareness assessment sessions. The study found that the intervention reduced residents' exposure to Aedes aegypti mosquito bites, whereas the exposure was increased in the control neighborhood. Knowledge about dengue was very limited at baseline in both the intervention and control neighborhoods, however respondents in the intervention area had increased knowledge about dengue and actions to control mosquitos. There was

no effect of the intervention of the number of *Aedes aegypti* breeding sites or the number of larvae and pupae found in the compounds.

Another study in Burkina Faso analyzed health professionals' use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) for dengue among 32 health professionals from 6 health care centers. Prior to implementing the use of the RDTs, a 3-day training program was attended by three selected health professionals from each center, who then had the primary responsibility of training their colleagues. The study found that prescriptions dispensed based on the dengue RDT results respected the directives received during the training. Health professionals reported changing their prescribing practices as a result of their use of the RDTs. Both health professionals who were trained, and those who were not trained but learned to use the RDTS, found value in the RDTs; however, they also reported that the testing was laborious, based on their comparison to malaria RDTs. The handling required for dengue RDTs was thought to be acceptable, but more complex than for malaria RDTs. In locations where no positive cases were found, health professionals were more reserved about the reliability of the tests. For others, the collaboration and approval from health authorities was sufficient proof that the test was reliable. Health professionals found the RDTs to be useful because it improved their ability to establish a differential diagnosis and manage cases more easily during infection outbreaks. In contrast to findings from studies on malaria RDTs, this study found that there was relatively good compliance in relation to dengue testing. Test results appeared to be used more conscientiously for dengue than for malaria (Zongo et al., 2018).

Yellow Fever

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Yellow	Peer-	Côte d'Ivoire	General public	Not vaccinated for	Mass vaccination	
Fever	reviewed	(2)		yellow fever		
(Arbovirus)	articles (3)				Vector control	
		Nigeria (1)		Standing water that		
				creates breeding	Bite protection	
				sites for mosquitoes		

Yellow fever is estimated to cause up to 30,000 deaths each year in Africa alone (Fatiregun, Sangowawa, & Abubakar, 2010). It is preventable by an effective and affordable one-time vaccine that is routinely administered in most endemic countries to children at 9 months of age. However, there is no specific antiviral drug to treat yellow fever.

The literature search uncovered three marginally relevant articles. In Nigeria, a pretraining test of disease surveillance and notification officers found very low knowledge of yellow fever surveillance requirements (Fatiregun et al., 2010). A study following a 2008 yellow fever epidemic in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, found that high population density and high density of mosquito larva (particularly in water storage containers) may have been responsible for the outbreak (Kone et al., 2013). Another study from

Côte d'Ivoire found that people over the age of 15 years were at higher risk because many had not been vaccinated (Attoh-Touré, Dagnan, & Tagliante-Saracino 2010).

Rift Valley Fever

DISEASE	SOURCES	COUNTRIES	POPULATIONS	RISK FACTOS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
Rift Valley Fever (Arbovirus)	Peer- reviewed articles (4)	Mauritania (1) Nigeria (2) Senegal (1)	General public Livestock keepers Meat processors Meat consumers Veterinarians	Environmental: dry spell of 6 days followed by intense rain Proximity to livestock	Vector control Bite protection Boil milk products Cook meat thoroughly Precautions when handling sick	
					carcasses	

Table 10. Summary of results, Rift Valley Fever (Arbovirus)

Rift Valley fever is transmitted from animals (primarily livestock) to humans through contact with blood, body fluids, or tissues of an infected animal and through mosquitoes (Boushab, Savadogo, Sow, & Soufiane, 2015). A qualitative study of Rift Valley fever in Mauritania identified eating raw or undercooked meat and drinking unpasteurized milk as risk factors (Boushab et al., 2015). Another study identified bodies of water within one kilometer of where the herd overnights (within the 'active flight' distance for mosquitoes that primarily feed at night and transmit Rift Valley fever) as a risk factor (Kanouté et al., 2017). At least one study suggests that six days of dry weather followed by heavy seasonal rainfall can be linked to outbreaks of Rift Valley fever, and early warning systems have been developed using satellite imaging to detect the presence of ponds (Vignolles et al., 2009).

In Nigeria, Opayele, et al (2018) conducted interviews and collected 265 blood samples from livestock handlers in a cattle market, a slaughter facility and a dairy farm in Ibadan, Nigeria. Livestock handlers from the cattle market had the highest rate of rift valley fever (RVF). Among the different types of livestock handlers, the livestock keepers had the highest number of individuals infected with RVF in the cattle market, while butchers had the highest prevalence in the slaughter facility. Workers who frequently traveled to the northern part of Nigeria for livestock related work had a statistically significant higher rate of infection, compared to those who did not. Among those who had knowledge of zoonotic diseases, only 1% knew about the RVF virus, while none had been vaccinated against the virus.

Another study in Nigeria surveyed 384 randomly selected pastoral households in Niger State to assess their knowledge, awareness, and preventative behaviors related to RVF. The results showed that all respondents had heard about RVF, which locally is referred to as "gabi-gabi." The most common sources of information about RVF were relatives (58%) and friends (34%), while other sources included animal health authorities (6%) and the radio (3%). There was fairly widespread knowledge of high mortality in newborn calves (85%), sudden onset of abortion in pregnant cows (77%), listlessness in newborn calves (75), and anorexia (49%) as clinical manifestations of RVF in cattle. However, very low proportions of respondents know about other signs in cattle. Less than half (43%) of participants reported bites of mosquitos to be a risk factor for RVF infection in animals, and only 47% mentioned bites of other biting flies. Participants mentioned high fever (51%) and headache (37%) as symptoms of RVF in humans. 14% of participants mentioned bites of infected mosquitoes and other biting flies as modes of transmission of RVF in humans, while 24% reported touching aborted cattle fetus. Less than half (39%) of participants used repellants on animals against arthropods as a preventative measure, while a very low proportion (22%) avoided loaning or borrowing animals to prevent RVF. 34% of participants avoided mosquitoes' sites during grazing, and 45% avoided contacts of healthy animals with aborted fetuses. Only 22% practiced separation of healthy animals from infected animals in herds to prevent RVF (Alhaji et al., 2018).

Zika

Table 11. Summary of results, Zika (Arbovirus)

DISEASE SOURCES COUNTRIES POPULA	RISK FACTORS	INTERVENTIONS	BARRIERS
ZikaPeer-Nigeria (1)General(Arbovirus)reviewedarticles (1)Pregnan	women	Vector control Bite protection	

The Zika virus is transmitted primarily by mosquito (particularly *Aedes aegypti*), but it also can be transmitted person-to-person through sexual intercourse and pregnancy. Zika has been associated with microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome in newborns. A study of Zika knowledge among 200 health care workers in Nigeria found that most (64%) had poor knowledge of the Zika infection including symptoms, testing, and treatment (Akunne, Chukwueke, & Anosike, 2018). The same study showed that knowledge was somewhat better on modes of transmission and risks to pregnant women and the unborn fetus.

References

- Abad, N., Malik T., Ariyarajah A., Ongpin P., Hogben M., McDonald S.L.R.,... Deen, G.F. (2017).
 Development of risk reduction behavioral counseling for Ebola virus disease survivors enrolled in the Sierra Leone Ebola Virus Persistence Study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 11(9), e0005827. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005827
- Adam, V. Y., Qasim, A. M., & Kazeem, M. O. (2014). Assessment of the knowledge of poultry farmers and live poultry sellers to preventive and control measures on bird flu, Benin City, Nigeria. *Epidemiology Research International, 2014.* doi:10.1155/2014/651619
- Adesokan, H. K., Alabi, P.I., Stack, J.A. & Cadmus, S.I.B. (2013). Knowledge and practices related to bovine brucellosis transmission amongst livestock workers in Yewa, south-western Nigeria. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 6;84(1):E1-5. doi:10.4102/jsava.v84i1.121.
- Adongo, P. B., Tabong, P.-N., Asampong, E., Ansong, J., Robalo, M., & Adanu, R. M. (2016a). Preparing towards preventing and containing an Ebola virus disease outbreak: What socio-cultural practices may affect containment efforts in Ghana? *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004852
- Adongo, P.B., Tabong, P.-N., Asampong, E., Ansong, J., Robalo, M., & Adanu, R. M. (2016b). Beyond knowledge and awareness: Addressing misconceptions in Ghana's preparation towards an outbreak of Ebola virus disease. *PLoS ONE*, 11(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149627
- Agbenohevi, P. G., Odoom, J. K., Bel-Nono, S., Nyarko, E. O., Alhassan, M., Rodgers, D.,... Ampofo, W. K. (2015). Biosecurity measures to reduce influenza infections in military barracks in Ghana. *BMC Research Notes*, 8(14). doi:10.1186/s13104-014-0956-0
- Aissi A.K., Ahoyo A.T., Orou Yorou C.B., Toko L., Affovehounde L., Gounoungbe M.T.,... Kinde Gazard D.A. (2015). Knowledge of health care workers and ability of healthcare facilities in preventing of Ebola virus diseases/lassa fever in Benin. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 4* (Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/2047-2994-4-S1-P4
- Ajilorea, K., Atakiti, I., & Onyenankeya, K. (2017). College students' knowledge, attitudes and adherence to public service announcements on Ebola in Nigeria: Suggestions for improving future Ebola prevention education programmes. *Health Education Journal*, *76*, 648-660.
- Akinseye, V. O., Adesokan, H. K., Ogugua, A. J., Adedoyin, F. J., Otu, P. I., & Kwaghe, A. V. (2016). Seroepidemiological survey and risk factors associated with bovine brucellosis among slaughtered cattle in Nigeria. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 83. https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v83i1.1002
- Akinseye, V. O., Adebayo, M. D., Genesis, O. O., Adelakun, O. D., & Cadmus, S. I. B. (2018). Prevalence and risk factors of mycobacterial infections in farm and trade cattle in southwestern Nigeria.
 Tropical Animal Health and Production, 50(4), 761–772. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-017-1492-4
- Akunne, M. O., Chukwueke, U. C., & Anosike, C. (2018). Assessment of knowledge of Zika virus infection among health care professionals in a southeastern state of Nigeria. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 10(2), 56-60.
- Alhaji, N. B., & Odetokun, I. A. (2011). Assessment of biosecurity measures against highly pathogenic avian influenza risks in small-scale commercial farms and free-range poultry flocks in the

northcentral Nigeria. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 58*(2), 157-161. doi:10.1111/j.1865-1682.2010.01195.x

- Alhaji, N. B., Wungak, Y. S., & Bertu, W. J. (2016). Serological survey of bovine brucellosis in Fulani nomadic cattle breeds (*Bos indicus*) of North-central Nigeria: Potential risk factors and zoonotic implications. *Acta Tropica*, 153, 28-35.
- Alhaji, N. B., Yatswako S., & Oddoh, E.Y. (2018). Knowledge, risk perception and mitigation measures towards Ebola virus disease by potentially exposed bushmeat handlers in north-central Nigeria: Any critical gap? *Zoonoses Public Health*, 65(1), 158-167.
- Alhaji, N. B., Babalobi, O. O., & Isola, T. O. (2018). A quantitative exploration of nomadic pastoralists' knowledge and practices towards Rift Valley fever in Niger State, North-central Nigeria: The associated socio-cultural drivers. One Health, 6(July), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2018.09.001
- Alpren, C., Jalloh, M. F., Kaiser, R., Diop, M., Kargbo, S., Castle, E.,... Jambai, A. (2017). The 117 call alert system in Sierra Leone: From rapid Ebola notification to routine death reporting. *BMJ Global Health*, 2(3), e000392.
- Ameh, V. O., Dzikwi, A. A., & Umoh, J. U. (2014). Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of dog owners to canine rabies in Wukari Metropolis, Taraba State Nigeria. *Global Journal of Health Science*. 12;6(5), 226-40. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v6n5p226
- Amemor, E. A., Sackey, S. O., Yebuah N., Folitse R. D., Emikpe B. O., Afari E.,... Bonsu, F. (2017). The prevalence of tuberculosis in cattle and their handlers in North Tongu, Volta Region, Ghana. *African Journal of Infectious Dis*eases 11(1), 12-17.
- Asogun, D., Okokhere, P., Okogbenin, S., Akpede, G., Gunther, S., & Happi, C. (2010). Lassa fever awareness and practices in a Nigerian rural community. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 10*(1), e208.
- Attoh-Touré, H., Dagnan, N.S., Tagliante-Saracino, J. (2010). Resurgence of yellow fever epidemics in Côte-d'Ivoire. *Bulletin de la Societé de Pathologie Exotique*, *103*(5):323-6. doi:10.1007/s13149-010-0047-y
- Awosanya, E. J. (2018). Post-epidemic awareness and knowledge of Lassa fever among residents in affected community in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *Veterinary World*, *11*(8), 1059–1063. https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.1059-1063
- Ayoola, M., Ogugua, A., Akinseye, V. O., Joshua, T. O., Banuso, M. F., Adedoyin, F. J... Cadmus, S. I.
 (2016). Sero-epidemiological survey and risk factors associated with brucellosis in dogs in southwestern Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal, 23*(1), doi:10.11604/pamj.2016.23.29.7794
- Baumann, J., Knüpfer, M., Ouedraogo, J., Traoré, B. Y., Heitzer, A., Kané, B., Wölfel, R. (2019).
 Lassa and Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever Viruses, Mali. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 25(5), 999–1002. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2505.181047
- Bonwitt, J., Dawson, M., Kandeh, M., Ansumana, R., Sahr, F., Brown, H., & Kelly, A. H. (2018).
 Unintended consequences of the 'bushmeat ban' in West Africa during the 2013-2016 Ebola virus disease epidemic. *Social Science & Medicine, 200,* 166-173.
 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.12.028

- Bonwitt J., Kelly, A. H., Ansumana, R., Agbla, S., Sahr, F., Saez, A. M.,... Fichet-Calvet, E. (2016). Ratatouille: A mixed method study to characterize rodent hunting and consumption in the context of Lassa fever. *EcoHealth* 13, 234-247. doi:10.1007/s10393-016-1098-8
- Boukary, A., Thys, E., Abatih, E., Gamatié, D., Ango, I., Yenikoye, A., & Saegerman, C. (2011). Bovine tuberculosis prevalence survey on cattle in the rural livestock system of Torodi (Niger). *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(9):e24629. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024629.
- Boukary AR, Thys E, Rigouts L, Matthys F, Berkvens D, Mahamadou I, Yenikoye A, Saegerman C. Risk factors associated with bovine tuberculosis and molecular characterization of Mycobacterium bovis strains in urban settings in Niger. Transboundary and emerging diseases. 2012 Dec;59(6):490-502.
- Boushab, B., Savadogo, M., Sow, S., & Soufiane, S. (2015). Survey of investigation around cases of Rift Valley fever at Tagant, Mauritania. *Revue d'Épidemiologie et de Santé Publique, 63*(3), 213-216.
- Bower, H., Johnson, S., Bangura, M. S., Kamara, A. J., Kamara, O., Mansaray, S. H.,... Glynn, J. R. (2016). Exposure-specific and age-specific attack rates for Ebola virus disease in Ebola-affected households, Sierra Leone. *Emerging Infectious Diseases, 22*(8), 1403.
- Burimuah, V., Ampofo, W. K., Awumbila, B., Yebuah, N., Emikpe, B. O., Tasiame, W., & Folitse, R. D. (2016). The evaluation of domestic ducks as potential reservoir of avian influenza virus in post HPAI H5N1 outbreak area, Sunyani municipality, Brong Ahafo region of Ghana. *African Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 10(2), 134-145. doi:10.21010/ajid.v10i2
- Cadmus S. I., Adosekan, H. K., Ajala, O. O., Odetokun, W. O., Perrett, L. L., & Stack, J. A. (2011). Seroprevalence of *Brucella abortus* and *B. canis* in household dogs in southwestern Nigeria: A preliminary report. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, *82*, 56-57.
- Cadmus, S. I., Alabi, P. I., Adesokan, H. K., Dale, E. J., & Stack, J. A. (2013). Serological investigation of bovine brucellosis in three cattle production systems in Yewa Division, south-western Nigeria. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association, 84*(1), E1-6.
- Cadmus, S. I., Agada, C.A., Onoja, I.I., & Salisu, I.. (2010). Risk factors associated with bovine tuberculosis in some selected herds in Nigeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, *42*, 547-549. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-009-9463-z
- Carter, S. E., O'Reilly, M., Walden V., Frith-Powell, J., Kargbo, A. E., & Niederberger, E. (2017). Barriers and enablers to treatment-seeking behavior and causes of high-risk practices in Ebola: A Case study from Sierra Leone. *Journal of Health Communication*, *22*, suppl 1, 31-38. doi:<u>1</u>0.1080/10810730.2016.1222034
- Chan, P. K. (2002). Outbreak of avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection in Hong Kong in 1997. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 34*, suppl 2, S58-S64.
- Changula, K., Kajihara, M., Mweene, A. S., & Takada, A. (2014). Ebola and Marburg virus diseases in Africa: Increased risk of outbreaks in previously unaffected areas? *Microbiology and Immunology*, *58*(9), 431-491.
- Dean, A. S., Bonfoh, B., Kulo, A. E., Boukaya, G. A., Amidou M., Hattendorf, J.,... Schelling, E.. (2013).
 Epidemiology of brucellosis and Q fever in linked human and animal populations in northern
 Togo. *PLoS ONE*. *12*, 8(8), e71501. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071501
- Dodet, B., Adjogoua, E., Aguemon, A., Amadou, O., Atipo, A., Baba, B.,... Diallo, M. (2008). Fighting rabies in Africa: The Africa rabies expert bureau (AfroREB). *Vaccine*, *26*(50), 6295-6298.

- Ducrotoy, M. J., Bertu, W. J., Matope, G., Cadmus, S., Conde-Álvarez, R., Gusi, A. M.,... Moriyon, I.
 (2017). Brucellosis in sub-Saharan Africa: Current challenges for management, diagnosis and control. *Acta Tropica*, 165, 179-193. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.10.023
- Ducrotoy M. J., Bertu W. J., Ocholi, R. A., Gusi, A. M., Bryssinckx, W., Welburn, S., & Moriyon, I. (2014). Brucellosis as an emerging threat in developing economies: Lessons from Nigeria. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 24(8) :e3008. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003008
- Dzikwi, A. A., Ibrahim, A. S., & Umoh, J. U., (2012). Knowledge and practice about rabies among children receiving formal and informal education in Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Health Science*, *4*(5), 132–139. http://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v4n5p132
- Dzingirai, V., Bukachi, S., Leach, M., Mangwanya, L., Scoones, I., & Wilkinson, A. (2017). Structural drivers of vulnerability to zoonotic disease in Africa. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 372. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0169
- Edukugho, C., Okeke, L., Adedire, E., Umoh, J. U., Diem, M., Ajani, G., ... Nguku, P. (2018). Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards rabies prevention among residents of Abuja municipal area council, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal*, *31*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2018.31.21.15120
- Ehizibolo, D. O., Ehizibolo, P. O., Ehizibolo, E. E., Sugun, M. Y., & Idachaba, S. E. (2011). The control of neglected zoonotic diseases in Nigeria though animal intervention. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, *14*(2), 81-88.
- Ekanem, E. E., Eyong, M. E., Philip-Ephraim, E. E., Adams, E. B., & Asindi, A. A. (2013). Stray dog trade fuelled by dog meat consumption as a risk factor for rabies infection in Calabar, southern Nigeria. *African Health Sciences*, 13(4), 1170-1173. doi:10.4314/ahs.v13i4.44
- Eke, C. B., Omotowo,, I. B., Ukoha, O. M., Ibe, B. C. (2015). Human rabies: Still a neglected preventable disease in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice, 18(2), 268-72. doi:10.4103/1119-3077.151064
- Elelu, N. (2017). Epidemiological risk factors of knowledge and preventive practice regarding avian influenza among poultry farmers and live bird traders in Ikorodu, Lagos State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Veterinary Science and Medicine*, 5(1), 47-52. doi:10.1016/j.ijvsm.2017.03.002
- Etokidem, A. J., Ago, B. U., Mgbekem, M., Etim, A., Usoroh, E., & Isika, A. (2018). Ebola virus disease: Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of nursing students of a Nigerian University. *African Health Sciences*, *18*(1), 55–65. https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v18i1.9
- Fagbamila, I. O., Mancin, M., Barco, L., Ngulukun, S. S., Jambalang, A., Ajayi, O. T.,... Muhammad, M. (2018). Investigation of potential risk factors associated with *Salmonella* presence in commercial laying hen farms in Nigeria. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *152*, 40-47. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.02.001
- Fasanmi, O. G., Ahmed, S. S. U., Oladele-Bukola, M. O., El-Tahawy, A. S., Elbestawy, A. R., & Fasina, F. O. (2016). An evaluation of biosecurity compliance levels and assessment of associated risk factors for highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 infection of live-bird markets, Nigeria and Egypt. *Acta Tropica*, *164*, 321-328. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.08.030

- Fasanmi, O. G., Odetokun, I. A., Balogun, F. A., & Fasina, F. O. (2017). Public health concerns of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 endemicity in Africa. *Veterinary World*, 10(10), 1194-1204. doi:10.14202/vetworld.2017.1194-1204
- Fasina, F. O., Njage, P. M. K., Ali, A. M. M., Yilma, J. M., Bwala, D. G., Rivas, A. L., & Stegeman, A. J. (2016). Development of disease-specific, context-specific surveillance models: Avian influenza (H5N1)-related risks and behaviours in African countries. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, 63(1), 20-33. doi:10.1111/zph.12200
- Fasina, F. O., Rivas, A. L., Bisschop, S. P. R., Stegeman, A. J., & Hernandez, J. A. (2011). Identification of risk factors associated with highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 virus infection in poultry farms, in Nigeria during the epidemic of 2006-2007. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 98(2-3), 204-208. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.11.007
- Fasina, O. F., Bisschop, S. P. R., Ibironke, A. A., & Meseko, C. A. (2009). Avian influenza risk perception among poultry workers, Nigeria. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 15(4), 616-617. doi:10.3201/eid1504.070159
- Fasina, O. F., Jonah, G. E., Pam, V., Milaneschi, Y., Gostoli, S., & Rafanelli, C. (2010). Psychosocial effects associated with highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1) in Nigeria. *Veterinaria Italiana*, 46(4), 459-465.
- Fatiregun, A. A., & Saani, M. M. (2008). Knowledge, attitudes and compliance of poultry workers with preventive measures for avian influenza in Lagelu, Oyo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, 2(2), 130-134.
- Fatiregun, A. A., Sangowawa, A. O., & Abubakar, O. A. (2010). Outcome of training on yellow fever surveillance in a south-western state, Nigeria: Implications for improved field implementation. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, 13, 449-452.
- Fichet-Calvet, E., & Rogers, D. J. (2009). Risk maps of Lassa fever in West Africa. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *3*(3), e388.
- Folorunso, O. R., Kayode, S., & Onibon, V. O. (2013). Poultry farm hygiene: Microbiological quality assessment of drinking water used in layer chickens managed under the battery cage and deep litter systems at three poultry farms in southwestern Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 17*(1), 74-79. doi:10.3923/pjbs.2014.74.79
- Gbogbo, F., & Kyei, M. O. (2017). Knowledge, perceptions and attitude of a community living around a colony of straw-coloured fruit bats (Eidolon helvum) in Ghana after Ebola virus disease outbreak in West Africa. *Zoonoses Public Health*, *64*(8), 628-635. doi:10.1111/zph.12357.
- Gidado, S., Oladimeji, A. M., Roberts, A. A., Nguku, P., Nwangwu, I.G., Waziri, N. E., S. F.,... Poggensee, G. (2015). Public knowledge, perception and source of information on Ebola virus disease Lagos, Nigeria. *PLOS Currents Outbreaks*. doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.0b805cac244d700a47d6a3713ef2d6db
- Govindaraj, R., Herbst, C. H., & Clark, J. P. (2018). Strengthening post-Ebola health systems: From response to resilience in Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone. Washington, DC: World Bank. © World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/27618
- Gray, N., Stringer, B., Bark, G., Heller Perache, A., Jephcott, F., Broeder, R., ... Samba, T. T. (2018). 'When Ebola enters a home, a family, a community': A qualitative study of population perspectives on

Ebola control measures in rural and urban areas of Sierra Leone. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *12*(6), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006461

- Hambolu, D., Freeman, J., Taddese, H.B. (2013). Predictors of bovine TB risk behaviour amongst meat handlers in Nigeria: A cross-sectional study guided by the health belief model. *PLoS ONE*. *8*(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056091
- Iliyasu, G., Ogoina, D., Otu, A. A., Dayyab, F.M., Ebenso, B., Otokpa, D.,... Habib, A. G. (2015). A multi-site knowledge attitude and practice survey of Ebola virus disease in Nigeria. *PLoS ONE*, 10(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135955
- Inegbenebor, U., Okosun, J., & Inegbenebor, J. (2010). Prevention of Lassa fever in Nigeria.. *Transactions* of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 104, 51-54.
- Irwin, K. L., Jalloh, M. F., Corker, J., Alpha Mahmoud, B., Robinson, S. J., Li, W.,... Marston, B. (2017). Attitudes about vaccines to prevent Ebola virus disease in Guinea at the end of a large Ebola epidemic: Results of a national household survey. *Vaccine*, 35, 6915-6923. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.06.026
- Jiang, H., Shi, G.-Q., Tu, W.-X., Zheng, C.-J., Lai, X.-H., Li, X.-X.,... Liang, X. F. (2016). Rapid assessment of knowledge, attitudes, practices, and risk perception related to the prevention and control of Ebola virus disease in three communities of Sierra Leone. *Infectious Disease of Poverty*, 5(53). doi:10.1186/s40249-016-0142-9
- Junaidu, A., Oboegbulem, S. I., & Salihu, M. (2011). Serological survey of *Brucella* antibodies in breeding herds. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research*, 1(1), 60-65.
- Kagambèga, A., Haukka, K., Siitonen, A., Traoré, A. S., & Barro, N. (2011). Prevalence of Salmonella enterica and the hygienic indicator Escherichia coli in raw meat at markets in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Journal of Food Protection, 74(9), 1547-1551. doi:10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-124
- Kagambèga, A., Lienemann, T., Aulu, L., Traoré, A. S., Barro, N., Siitonen, A., & Haukka, K. (2013).
 Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella enterica* from the feces of cattle, poultry, swine and hedgehogs in Burkina Faso and their comparison to human *Salmonella* isolates. *BMC Microbiology*, *13*(1). doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-253
- Kanouté, Y., Grangnon, B. G., Schidler, C., Bonfoh, B. (2017). Epidemiology of brucellosis, Q fever and Rift Valley fever at the human and livestock interface in northern Côte d'Ivoire. *Acta Tropica*, 165, 66-75. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.02.012
- Kaoje, A., Yahaya, M., Sabir, A. A., Raji, M. O., Abdulmumin, S., Mohammed, A. U. (2016). Awareness, knowledge, and misconceptions of Ebola virus disease among residents of a rural community in Sokoto, Northwest Nigeria. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 9(2), 105-111.
- Kelly, J. D., Barrie, M. B., Ross, R. A., Temple, B. A., Moses, L. M., Bausch, D. G. (2013). Housing equity for health equity: a rights-based approach to the control of Lassa fever in post-war Sierra Leone. BMC International Health and Human Rights. 13(2). doi:10.1186/1472-698X-13-2
- Kone, A., Konan, Y., Coulibaly, Z., Fofana, D., Guindo-Coulibaly, N., Diallo, M.,... Odehouri-Koudou, P. (2013). Évaluation entomologique du risque d'épidémie urbaine de fièvre jaune survenue en 2008 dans le district d'Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. *Medecine et Santé Tropicales, 23*(1), 66-71.
- Kwaga, J., Abdu, P. A., Kabir, J., Ngulukun, S. S., Barco, L., Jambalang, A., ... Emennaa, P. (2018). Investigation of potential risk factors associated with Salmonella presence in commercial laying

hen farms in Nigeria. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 152(January), 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.02.001

- Lafond, K. E., Dalhatu, I., Shinde, V., Ekanem, E. E., Ahmed, S., Peebles, P.,... Gross, D. (2014). Notifiable disease reporting among public sector physicians in Nigeria: a cross-sectional survey to evaluate possible barriers and identify best sources of information. *BMC Health Services Research*, 14, 568. doi:10.1186/s12913-014-0568-3
- Lavan, R. P., King, A. I. M., Sutton, D. J. & Tunceli, K. (2017). Rationale and support for a One Health program for canine vaccination as the most cost-effective means of controlling zoonotic rabies in endemic settings. *Vaccine*, 35(13), 1668-1674. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.014
- Lawson, E. T., Ayivor, J. S., Ohemeng, F., & Ntiamoa-Baidu, Y. (2019). Avoiding bites and scratches? Understanding the public health implication of human–bat interactions in Ghana. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, 66(1), 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12540
- Lopes, P. H., Akweongo, P., Wurapa, F., Afari, E., Sackey, S., Ocansey, D., & Nyarko, K. M. (2016). Bovine tuberculosis surveillance system evaluation, greater-Accra region, Ghana, 2006-2011. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. 25(1), 10. doi:10.11604/pamj.supp.2016.25.1.6181
- Mai, H. M., Irons, P. C., Kabir, J., Thompson, P. N. (2013). Herd-level risk factors for *Campylobacter detus* infection, *Brucella* seropositive and within-herd seroprevalence of brucellosis in cattle in northern Nigeria. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 111(3-4), 256-67. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2013.05.016.
- Mari Saez, A., Magassouba, N., Kourouma, F., Sage, M., Fichet-Calvet, E., Camara, A., & Cherif Haidara, M. (2018). Rodent control to fight Lassa fever: Evaluation and lessons learned from a 4-year study in Upper Guinea. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *12*(11), e0006829. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006829
- Mauti, S., Traore, A., Hattendorf, J., Schelling, E., Wasniewski, M., Schereffer, J. L.,.., Cliquet, F. (2017). Factors associated with dog rabies immunisation status in Bamako, Mali. *Acta Tropica*, *165*,194-202. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.10.016.
- MOAFFS & MOHS. (2015). National Strategy for the Elimination of Rabies and Enhancement of Dog Population Management in Sierra Leone- 2015-2030. Sierra Leone Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Food Security & Ministry of Health and Sanitation. Government of Sierra Leone
- Missohou, A., Mbodj, M., Zanga, D., Niang, S., Sylla, K. S. B., Seydi, M.,... Seck, S. W. (2011). Analysis of microbiological and chemical quality of poultry meat in the vicinity of the Mbeubeuss landfill in Malika (Senegal). *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 43(5), 983-988. doi:10.1007/s11250-011-9795-3
- Molia, S., Boly, I. A., Duboz, R., Coulibaly, B., Guitian, J., Grosbois, V.,... Pfeiffer, D. U. (2016). Live bird markets characterization and trading network analysis in Mali: Implications for the surveillance and control of avian influenza and Newcastle disease. *Acta Tropica*, 155, 77-88. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.12.003
- Molia, S., Samaké, K., Diarra, A., Sidibé, M. S., Doumbia, L., Camara, S.,... Grosbois, V. (2011). Avian influenza and Newcastle disease in three risk areas for H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza in Mali, 2007-2008. *Avian Diseases, 55*(4), 650-658. doi:10.1637/9775-050911-Reg.1
- Molia, S., Traoré, I., Kamissoko, B., Diakité, A., Sidibé, M. S., Sissoko, K. D., & Pfeiffer, D. U. (2015). Characteristics of commercial and traditional village poultry farming in Mali with a focus on
practices influencing the risk of transmission of avian influenza and Newcastle disease. *Acta Tropica*, *150*, 14-22. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.06.015

- Momoh, H., Ijale, G., Ajogi, I., & Okolocha, E. (2014). Seroprevalence of canine brucellosis in Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Epidemiology*, 7(2), 36.
- Mosimann, A., Traoré, A., Mauti, S., Léchenne, M., Obrist, B., Véron, R.,... Zinsstag, J. (2017). A mixed methods approach to assess animal vaccination programs: The case of rabies control in Bamako, Mali. Acta Tropica,165:203-215. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.10.007
- Musa, O. I., Aderibigbe, S. A., Salaudeen, G. A., Oluwole, F. A., & Samuel, S. O. (2010). Community awareness of bird flu and the practice of backyard poultry in a North-Central State of Nigeria. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, *51*(4), 146-151.
- Muthiani, Y., Traore, A., Mauti, S., Zinsstag, J., Hattendorf, J. (2015). Low coverage of central point vaccination against dog rabies in Bamako, Mali. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *120*(2), 203-9. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.04.007
- Odeh, L. E., Umoh, J. U. & Dzikwi, A. A. (2013). Assessment of risk of possible exposure to rabies among processors and consumers of dog meat in Zaria and Kafanchan, Kaduna state, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Health Science*. 6(1), 142-53. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v6n1p142
- Odoom, J. K., Bel-Nono, S., Rodgers, D., Agbenohevi, P. G., Dafeamekpor, C. K., Sowa, R. M.,... Ampofo, W. K. (2012). Troop education and avian influenza surveillance in military barracks in Ghana, 2011. *BMC Public Health*, *12*, 957.
- Ogugua, A. J., Cadmus, S. I. B., Ignocio, M., Alabi, P. I., Cadmus, E. O., Akinseye, V. O., ... Akinade, S. A. (2018). Prevalence and risk factors associated with bovine brucellosis in herds under extensive production system in southwestern Nigeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, *50*(7), 1573–1582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1597-4
- Okeke, L. A., Fawole, O., Muhammad, M., Okeke, I.O., Nguku, P., Wasswa, P.,... Cadmus, S. (2016). Bovine tuberculosis: a retrospective study at Jos abattoir, Plateau State, Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal.* 25, 202. doi:10.11604/pamj.2016.25.202.5669
- Okello, A., Welburn, S., Smith, J. (2015). Crossing institutional boundaries: mapping the policy process for improved control of endemic and neglected zoonoses in sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Policy and Planning*, *30*(6), 804-12. doi:10.1093/heapol/czu059.
- Oladeinde, B. H., Omoregie, R., & Odia, I. (2015). Public awareness of Lassa fever in three rural communities of Nigeria. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*, *53*(3), 128-135. https://doi.org/10.1080/14635240.2014.968288
- Olowookere, S. A., Abioye-Kuteyi, E. A., Adepoju, O.,K., Esan, O. T., Adeolu, T. M., Adeoye, T. K.,... Aderogba, A. T.. (2015). Knowledge, attitude, and practice of health workers in a tertiary hospital in IIe-Ife, Nigeria, towards Ebola viral disease. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*. doi:10.1155/2015/431317
- Olowookere, S. A., Adegbenro, C. A., Idowu, A., Omisore, A. G., Shabi, O. M., Ikem, U. R.,... Oderinde, I. F. (2017). Knowledge, attitude and practices toward Lassa fever control and prevention among residents of IIE-Ife, Southwest Nigeria. *International Quarterly of Community Health Education*, 37(2), 107-112. doi:10.1177/0272684X17701261

- Opayele, A. V., Odaibo, G. N., & Olaleye, O. D. (2018). Rift valley fever virus infection among livestock handlers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Immunoassay and Immunochemistry*, *39*(6), 609–621. https://doi.org/10.1080/15321819.2018.1525739
- Ouédraogo, S., Benmarhnia, T., Bonnet, E., Somé, P.-A., Barro, A. S., Kafando, Y., ... Ridde, V. (2018).
 Evaluation of Effectiveness of a Community-Based Intervention for Control of Dengue Virus Vector, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 24(10), 1859–1867.
 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2410.180069
- Ordaz-Németh, I. Arandjelovic, M., Boesch, L., Gatiso, T., Grimes, T., Kuehl, H.S., ... Junker, J. (2017). The socio-economic drivers of bushmeat consumption during the West African Ebola crisis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(3):e0005450. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005450
- Ozioko, K. U., Okoye, C. I., Obiezue, R. N., & Agbu, R. A. (2018). Knowledge, attitudes, and behavioural risk factors regarding zoonotic infections among bushmeat hunters and traders in Nsukka, southeast Nigeria. *Epidemiology and Health, 40*, e2018025. https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2018025
- Parry-Hanson Kunadu, A., Holmes, M., Miller, E. L., & Grant, A. J. (2018). Microbiological quality and antimicrobial resistance characterization of *Salmonella* spp. in fresh milk value chains in Ghana. *International Journal of Food Microbiology, 277*, 41-49. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.04.025
- Paul, A. A., Assam, A., & Ndang, T. N. (2012). Local poultry biosecurity risks to highly pathogenic avian influenza in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 45(1), 335-343. doi:10.1007/s11250-012-0223-0
- Pouillot, R., Garin, B., Ravaonindrina, N., Diop, K., Ratsitorahina, M., Ramanantsoa, D., & Rocourt, J. (2012). A risk assessment of campylobacteriosis and salmonellosis linked to chicken meals prepared in households in Dakar, Senegal. *Risk Analysis, 32*(10), 1798-1819. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01796.x
- Richards, P., Amara, J., Ferme, M. C., Kamara, P., Mokuwa, E., Sheriff, A. I.,... Voors, M. (2015). Social pathways for Ebola virus disease in rural Sierra Leone, and some implications for containment. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 9*(4), e0003567.
- Saez, A.M., Magassouba, N., Kourouma, F., Sage, M., Fichet-Calvet, E., Camara, A., & Cherif Haidara, M. (2018). Rodent control to fight Lassa fever: Evaluation and lessons learned from a 4-year study in Upper Guinea. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *12*(11), e0006829. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006829
- Salami, K. K., Ayegboyin, M., & Adedeji, I. A. (2019). Ebola outbreak in Nigeria: perceptions from commercial motorcyclists and passengers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Health Education Research*, 34(1), 1– 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyy049
- Salisu, U. S., Kudi, C. A., Bale, J. O. O., Babashani, M., Kaltungo, B. Y., Saidu, S. N. A., Asambe, A., & Baba, A. Y. (2017). Seoprevalence of *Brucella* antibodies in camels in Katsina State, Nigeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 49(5), 1041-1046. doi:10.1007/s11250-017-1297-5
- Sanogo, M., Abatih, E., Thys, E., Fretin, D., Berkvens, D., & Saegerman, C. (2012). Risk factors associated with brucellosis seropositivity among cattle in the central savannah-forest area of Ivory Coast. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *107*, 51-56.

- Sanogo, M., Abatih., E., Thys, E., Fretin, D., Berkvens, D., & Saegerman, C. (2013). Importance of identification and typing of *Brucellae* from West African Cattle: A review. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 164, 202-211.
- Schwerdtle, P., De Clerck, V., & Plummer, V. (2017). Survivors' perceptions of public health messages during an Ebola crisis in Liberia and Sierra Leone: An exploratory study. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, *19*(4), 492-497. doi:10.1111/nhs.12372.
- SL MOHS. (2018). Knowledge Gateway, Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Health Education Division. Government of Sierra Leone. https://knowledge-gateway.org/hed
- Salami, K. K., Ayegboyin, M., & Adedeji, I. A. (2019). Ebola outbreak in Nigeria: perceptions from commercial motorcyclists and passengers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Health Education Research*, 34(1), 1– 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyy049
- Stoler, J., Dashti, R., Anto, F., Fobil, J. N., & Awandare, G. A. (2014). Deconstructing "malaria": West Africa as the next front for dengue fever surveillance and control. *Acta Tropica*, *134*, 58-65. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.02.017
- Suluku, M. R., Abu-Bakarr, M. I., Johnny, M. J., & Jonsyn-Ellis, F. (2012). Post-war demographic and ecological survey of dog populations and their human relationships in Sierra Leone. (A case study of urban Freetown). *Science Journal of Agricultural Research and Management, 2012*. doi:10.7237/sjarm/282
- Suluku, R., Nyandeboh, J. P. J., Kallon, M. N., Barrie, A., Kabba, B., Koroma, B. M., & Emikpe, B. O. (2017).
 First reported case of dog associated cattle rabies in Koinadugu District, northern Sierra Leone.
 African Journal of Biomedical Research, 20(3), 325-327.
- Tambo, E., Adetunde, O. E., & Olalubi, O. A. (2018). Re-emerging Lassa fever outbreaks in Nigeria: Reenforcing "One Health" community surveillance and emergency response practice. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*. doi:10.1186/s40249-018-0421-8
- Tasiame, W., Folitse, O. R., & Emikpe, O. B. (2016). First reported case of dog associated pig rabies in Ghana. *Afrrican Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *10*(1), 55-57.
- Tasiame, W., Emikpe, B. O., Folitse, R. D., Fofie, C. O., Burimuah, V., Johnson, S.,... Wurapa, F. (2016). The prevalence of brucellosis in cattle and their handlers in north Tongu district of Volta region, Ghana. *African Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *10*(2), 111-117. doi:10.21010/ajid.v10i2.6.
- Tebug, S. F., Kamga-Walado, A. R., Ngono Ema, P. J., Muyeneza, C., Kane, O., Seck, A.,... Lo, M., (2015). Cattle farmer awareness and behavior regarding prevention of zoonotic disease transmission in Senegal. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 20(2), 217-224.
- Tengbeh, A. F., Enria, L., Smount, E., Mooney, T., Callaghan, M., Ishola, D.,... Lees, S. (2018). "We are the heroes because we are ready to die for this country": Participants' decision-making and grounded ethics in an Ebola vaccine clinical trial. *Social Science & Medicine*, 203, 35-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.008
- Tenkorang, E. Y. (2017). Ebola-related stigma in Ghana: Individual and community level determinants. *Social Science & Medicine*, *182*, 142-149. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.03.060
- Tenkorang, E. Y. (2018). Effect of knowledge and perceptions of risks on Ebola-preventive behaviours in Ghana. *International Health*, 10(3), 202–210. https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihy009
- Tobin, E. A., Asogun, D., Happi, C., Ogbaini, E., & Gunther, S. (2014). Risk factors for Lassa fever in endemic communities of Edo State, Nigeria. *21*, 258–259.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.03.958

- Turnbull, P. C. B. (2008). Anthrax in humans and animals: World Health Organization. USAID. (2017). Sierra Leone identifies priority diseases. Retrieved from http://preparednessandresponse.org/news/sierra-leone-identifies-priority-diseases/
- Vignolles, C., Lacaux, J.-P., Tourre, Y. M., Bigeard, G., Ndione, J.-A., & Lafaye, M. (2009). Rift Valley fever in a zone potentially occupied by Aedes vexans in Senegal: dynamics and risk mapping. *Geospatial Health, 3*(2), 211-220.
- Vygen, S., Tiffany, A., Rull, M., Ventura, A., Wolz, A., Jambai, A., & Porten, K. (2016). Changes in healthseeking behavior did not result in increased all-cause mortality during the Ebola outbreak in Western Area, Sierra Leone. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, *95*(4), 897–901. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.16-0295
- Wilkinson, A., & Leach, M. (2015). Briefing: Ebola–myths, realities, and structural violence. *African Affairs*, *114*(454), 136-148. doi:10.1093/afraf/adu080
- World Animal Protection. (2018). The tragic loss of a family dog in Sierra Leone. Retrieved from https://www.worldanimalprotection.us/news/tragic-loss-family-dog-sierra-leone
- Yang, Y., Halloran, M. E., Sugimoto, J. D., & Longini Jr, I. M. (2007). Detecting human-to-human transmission of avian influenza A (H5N1). *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *13*(9), 1348.
- Zongo, S., Carabali, M., Munoz, M., & Ridde, V. (2018). Dengue rapid diagnostic tests: Health professionals' practices and challenges in Burkina Faso. *SAGE Open Medicine*, *6*, 205031211879458. https://doi.org/10.1177/2050312118794589